

Report

# **Air Quality Review and Assessment - Stage 3**

A report produced for Moyle District Council

Netcen/ED44758/Issue 2  
April 2004

<b>Title</b>	Air Quality Review and Assessment-Stage 3
<b>Customer</b>	Moyle District Council
<b>Customer reference</b>	
<b>Confidentiality, copyright and reproduction</b>	This document has been prepared by AEA Technology plc in connection with a contract to supply goods and/or services and is submitted only on the basis of strict confidentiality. The contents must not be disclosed to third parties other than in accordance with the terms of the contract.
<b>File reference</b>	M:\Stage 3 R & A\moyle2\report\Moyle Stage3avfinal.doc
<b>Report number</b>	AEAT/ENV/R/1751
<b>Report status</b>	Issue 1

AEA Technology Environment  
Culham  
Abingdon  
Oxfordshire  
OX14 3DB  
Telephone 01235 463173  
Facsimile 01235 463038

AEA Technology is the trading name of AEA Technology plc  
AEA Technology is certificated to BS EN ISO9001:(1994)

	<b>Name</b>	<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
<b>Author</b>	Susannah Grice		
<b>Reviewed by</b>	Beth Conlan		
<b>Approved by</b>	Beth Conlan		

# Executive Summary

The UK Government published its strategic policy framework for air quality management in 1995 establishing national strategies and policies on air quality. The NI Environment Order came into operation in January 2003 and implements both the European Air Framework Directive 96/62EC and the UK Air Quality Strategy. The Air Quality Strategy provides a framework for air quality control through air quality management and air quality standards. New national air quality standards have been proposed by the Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards (EPAQS) for the UK.

All local authorities are thus required to undertake an air quality review. In areas where air quality objectives are not anticipated to be met by the specified date, Local Authorities are required to establish Air Quality Management Areas to improve air quality.

The Local Air Quality Management Policy Guidance (LAQM.PGNI (03)) is designed to help relevant authorities with their local air quality management duties under Part III of the environment (NI) Order 2002. The guidance sets out the legislative framework for the system of local Air quality Management (LAQM). The Environment (NI) Order 2002 provides the framework for LAQM across Northern Ireland.

The air quality objectives set out in the Air Quality Regulations (NI) 2003 provide the statutory basis for the system of LAQM.

The first step in this process is to undertake a review of current and potential future air quality. The number of reviews necessary depends on the likelihood of achieving the objectives.

This report on domestic fuel combustion forms part of the stage three air quality review for Moyle District Council. Only PM<sub>10</sub> and sulphur dioxide are considered in this report. This is because PM<sub>10</sub> and sulphur dioxide are the only pollutants of concern when considering domestic fuel combustion. This report investigates PM<sub>10</sub> and sulphur dioxide levels through an examination of the location and size of domestic combustion sources, emissions modelling exercises and by reference to monitored air quality data.

As part of this report, further detailed modelling with new fuel use data using ADMS version 3.1 has been undertaken at a one kilometre square grid in Bushmills (identified in the Stage 2 assessment) following an initial Stage 3 assessment.

The model results have been bias corrected using data from Rosebrook Avenue in Carrickfergus because there is no continuous monitoring at present of SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> in the Moyle District. This will provide indicative results and will alert Moyle District Council if concentrations in the borough are likely to exceed the objectives and therefore whether local monitoring should be undertaken.

## Particulates (PM<sub>10</sub>)

The modelling results suggest that there will be no exceedence, of the 90.4 percentile daily mean PM<sub>10</sub> objective in 2004 in Bushmills. This is the most stringent of the PM<sub>10</sub> objectives. If this objective is met then it is likely that the annual mean objective in 2004 will also be met.

***It is recommended that no further assessment is required for the Bushmills area and therefore an AMQA need not be considered for Bushmills***

## Sulphur dioxide

The modelling results suggest that there will not be an exceedence of the 15 minute mean SO<sub>2</sub> objective in the Bushmills area. This is the most stringent SO<sub>2</sub> objective and so it is likely that the hourly and daily SO<sub>2</sub> objectives will also be met.

***It is recommended that no further assessment is required for sulphur dioxide from domestic fuel burning and therefore an AQMA need not be considered.***

## Maps reproduced within this document

All maps in this document are reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with permission of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.

## Acronyms and definitions

ADMS	an atmospheric dispersion model
AQDD	Common Position on Air Quality Daughter Directives
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
AQS	Air Quality Strategy
AURN	Automatic Urban and Rural Network
d.f.	degrees of freedom
defra	Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DETR	Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions (now defra)
EA	Environment Agency
EPA	Environmental Protection Act
EPAQS	Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards
GIS	Geographical Information System
n	number of pairs of data
NAEI	National Atmospheric Emission Inventory
NAQS	National Air Quality Strategy (now called the Air Quality Strategy)
netcen	National Environmental Technology Centre
ppb	parts per billion
r	the correlation coefficient
roadside	1 to 5 m from the kerb
DoE NI	Department of Environment Northern Ireland

# Contents

<b>1.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Purpose of the study	1
1.2	general Approach taken	1
1.3	Version of the Pollutant Specific Guidance used in this assessment	1
1.4	Numbering of figures and tables	1
1.5	Units of concentration	1
1.6	Structure of the report	2
<b>2</b>	<b>THE UPDATED AIR QUALITY STRATEGY</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	The need for an Air Quality Strategy	3
2.2	Overview of the principles and main elements of the National Air Quality Strategy	4
2.2.1	National Air Quality Strategy	4
2.2.2	Relationship between the UK National Air Quality Standards and EU air quality Limit Values	6
2.2.3	Policies in place to allow these objectives to be achieved	6
2.2.4	Timescales to achieve the objectives	7
2.3	Air Quality Reviews	7
2.4	locations that the review and assessment must concentrate on	11
<b>3</b>	<b>INFORMATION USED TO SUPPORT THIS ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	Maps	14
3.2	Meteorological data used in the dispersion modelling	14
3.3	ambient monitoring	14
3.3.1	Particulates (PM <sub>10</sub> )	14
3.3.2	Sulphur dioxide	14
<b>4</b>	<b>REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT FOR PM<sub>10</sub> FROM DOMESTIC FUEL COMBUSTION</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1	Introduction	15
4.2	Latest standards and objectives for PM <sub>10</sub>	15
4.3	The National Perspective	16
4.4	Monitoring data	16
<b>5</b>	<b>REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT FOR SO<sub>2</sub> FROM DOMESTIC FUEL COMBUSTION</b>	<b>17</b>
5.1	Introduction	17
5.2	Latest standards and objectives for SO <sub>2</sub>	17
5.3	The national perspective	17
5.4	monitoring data	18
<b>6</b>	<b>RESULTS OF THE FUEL USE SURVEY</b>	<b>19</b>
6.1	Introduction	19
6.2	Emission factors used in the modelling	19
6.3	Grid 1 - Bushmills	20

7	DETAILED MODELLING	<b>21</b>
7.1	Meteorological data	21
7.2	Overview of the modelling approach	21
7.2.1	Model bias	21
7.2.2	Model validation	21
7.3	Results of modelling	23
7.3.1	Bushmills	23
7.4	summary of the likelihood of exceeding the objectives for SO <sub>2</sub>	24
7.5	summary of the likelihood of exceeding the objectives for PM <sub>10</sub>	25
7.6	recommendations	25
8	REFERENCES	<b>26</b>

**Appendix 1 Carrickfergus Automatic Monitoring Station**

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Netcen was commissioned to complete the domestic fuel combustion section of the third stage review and assessment for Moyle District Council (MDC) for the Bushmills area using revised fuel use data.

## 1.2 GENERAL APPROACH TAKEN

The approach taken in this study was to:

- Collect and interpret additional data to support the third stage assessment, including detailed fuel use survey data for locations where exceedences were predicted;
- Use the monitoring data from a monitoring station in Carrickfergus to assess the ambient concentrations produced by domestic fuel combustion and to validate the output of the modelling studies. Data from Carrickfergus was used because no monitoring data from Moyle was available;
- Model the concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> in the selected grid squares, concentrating on the locations (receptors) where people might be exposed over the relevant averaging times of the air quality objectives;
- Present the concentrations as contour plots of concentrations.

## 1.3 VERSION OF THE POLLUTANT SPECIFIC GUIDANCE USED IN THIS ASSESSMENT

This report has used the guidance in LAQM.TG(03), published in February 2003.

## 1.4 NUMBERING OF FIGURES AND TABLES

The numbering scheme is not sequential, and the figures and tables are numbered according to the chapter and section that they relate to.

## 1.5 UNITS OF CONCENTRATION

The units throughout this report are presented in  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  (which is consistent with the presentation of the new AQS objectives), unless otherwise noted.

## 1.6 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

This document is a revised Third Stage Air Quality review for Moyle District Council for PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> from domestic fuel combustion in Bushmills. This chapter, Chapter 1 summarises the approach to completing the study.

Chapter 2 of the report describes the most recent developments in the UK's Air Quality Strategy (AQS). In addition, it discusses when implementation of an AQMA is required.

Chapter 3 contains details of the information used to conduct the stage 3 review and assessment for Moyle District Council.

Chapters 4 and 5 describe the review and assessment standards for the two relevant pollutants, SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> and the monitoring data used for these pollutants.

Chapter 6 presents the fuel use survey results.

Chapter 7 presents the detailed modelling. The results of this analysis are displayed as contour plots.

# 2 The updated Air Quality Strategy

## 2.1 THE NEED FOR AN AIR QUALITY STRATEGY

After agreement of a Common Position on the Air Quality Daughter Directives (AQDD), in June 1998 at the European Union Environment Council, the government published its proposals for review of the National Air Quality Strategy (in 1999). Subsequently the Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland was published in January 2000.

The NI Environment Order came into operation in January 2003 and implements both the European Air Framework Directive 96/62EC and the UK Air Quality Strategy. The Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards (EPAQS) has proposed new national air quality standards for the UK.

The NI Environment Order 2002 provides the framework for LAs to review air quality and for implementation of an AQMA. It is issued by the Department of the Environment in Northern Ireland under Article 16 of the Environment (NI) Order 2002. Under article 16 of the order, District Councils and other relevant authorities are required to have regard to this guidance when carrying out any of their duties under, or by virtue of Part III of the order. The guidance the document sets out is outlined in Table 2.1 below.

Table 2.1: NI Environment Order 2002 key Guidance:

- |  |
|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ The statutory background and the legislative framework within which relevant authorities have to work</li><li>▪ The new principles behind reviews and assessments of air quality up to 2010 and the recommended steps that relevant authorities should take</li><li>▪ The timetable for reviews and assessments up to 2010</li><li>▪ How district councils should handle the designation of AQMAs</li><li>▪ How relevant authorities should handle the drawing up and implementation of action plans</li><li>▪ Recommendations and suggestions on taking forward the development of local and regional air quality strategies</li><li>▪ Suggestions of how relevant authorities should consult and liase with others</li><li>▪ Local transport measures which the Roads Service might wish to consider</li><li>▪ The general principles behind air quality and land use planning; and</li><li>▪ How enforcing authorities should use powers of entry under Article 19 of the Order</li></ul> |
|--|

## 2.2 OVERVIEW OF THE PRINCIPLES AND MAIN ELEMENTS OF THE NATIONAL AIR QUALITY STRATEGY

The main elements of the AQS can be summarised as follows:

- The use of a health effects based approach using national air quality standards and objectives.
- The use of policies by which the objectives can be achieved and which include the input of important actors such as industry, transportation bodies and local authorities.
- The predetermination of timescales with target dates of 2003, 2004, 2005, 2008 and 2010 for the achievement of objectives and a commitment to review the Strategy every three years.

It is intended that the AQS will provide a framework for the improvement of air quality that is both clear and workable. In order to achieve this, the Strategy is based on several principles which include:

- the provision of a statement of the Government's general aims regarding air quality;
- clear and measurable targets;
- a balance between local and national action and
- a transparent and flexible framework.

Co-operation and participation by different economic and governmental sectors is also encouraged within the context of existing and potential future international policy commitments.

### 2.2.1 National Air Quality Strategy

At the centre of the AQS is the use of national air quality standards to enable air quality to be measured and assessed. These also provide the means by which objectives and timescales for the achievement of objectives can be set. Most of the proposed standards have been based on the available information concerning the health effects resulting from different ambient concentrations of selected pollutants and are the consensus view of medical experts on the Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards (EPAQS). These standards and associated specific objectives to be achieved between 2003 and 2010 are shown in Table 2.2. The table shows the standards in  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  with the number of exceedences that are permitted (where applicable).

Specific objectives relate either to achieving the full standard or, where use has been made of a short averaging period, objectives are sometimes expressed in terms of percentile compliance. The use of percentiles means that a limited number of exceedences of the air quality standard over a particular timescale, usually a year, are permitted. This is to account for unusual meteorological conditions or particular events such as November 5th. For example, if an objective is to be complied with at the 99.9th percentile, then 99.9% of measurements at each location must be at or below the level specified.

Table 2.2. Proposed Objectives included in the Air Quality Regulations (NI) 2003 for the purpose of Local Air Quality Management.

Pollutant	Air Quality Objective		Date to be achieved by
	Concentration	Measured as	
<b>Benzene</b>	16.25 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
	3.25 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	Running annual mean	31.12.2010
<b>1,3 Butadiene</b>	2.25 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
<b>Carbon Monoxide</b>	10.0 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	Maximum daily running 8-hour mean	31.12.2003
<b>Lead</b>	0.5 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	Annual mean	31.12.2004
	0.25 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	Annual mean	31.12.2008
<b>Nitrogen Dioxide<sup>1</sup></b>	200 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1 hour mean	31.12.2005
	40 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	annual mean	31.12.2005
<b>Particles (PM<sub>10</sub>)<sup>2</sup> Gravimetric<sup>3</sup></b>	50 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24 hour mean	31.12.2004
	40 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	annual mean	31.12.2004
<b>Sulphur Dioxide</b>	350 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ not to be exceeded more than 24 times per year	1 hour mean	31.12.2004
	125 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ not to be exceeded more than 3 times per year	24 hour mean	31.12.2004
	266 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ not to be exceeded more than 35 times per year	15 minute mean	31.12.2005

Notes

1	The objectives for nitrogen dioxide are provisional.
2	There are likely to be new particles objectives for 2010, not in regulation at present, expected after the review of the EU's first Air Quality Daughter Directive (2004).
3	Measured using the European gravimetric transfer standard or equivalent.

## **2.2.2 Relationship between the UK National Air Quality Standards and EU air quality Limit Values**

As a member state of the EU, the UK must comply with European Union Directives.

There are three EU ambient air quality directives that the UK has transposed in to UK law. These are:

- **96/62/EC** Council Directive of 27 September 1996 on ambient air quality assessment and management. (the Ambient Air Framework Directive)
- **1999/30/EC** Council Directive of 22 April 1999 relating to limit values for sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, oxides of nitrogen, particulate matter and lead in ambient air. (the First Daughter Directive)
- **2000/69/EC** Directive of the European Parliament and the Council of 16 Nov 2000 relating to limit values for benzene and carbon monoxide in ambient air. (the Second Daughter Directive)

The first and second daughter directives contain air quality Limit Values for the pollutants that are listed in the framework directive. The United Kingdom (i.e. Great Britain and Northern Ireland) must comply with these Limit Values. The UK air quality strategy should allow the UK to comply with the EU Air Quality Daughter Directives, but the UK air quality strategy also includes some stricter national objectives for some pollutants, for example, sulphur dioxide.

The Government is ultimately responsible for achieving the EU limit values. However, it is important that Local Air Quality Management is used as a tool to ensure that the necessary action is taken at local level to work towards achieving the EU limit values by the dates specified in those EU Directives.

## **2.2.3 Policies in place to allow these objectives to be achieved**

The policy framework to allow these objectives to be achieved is one that takes a local air quality management approach. This is superimposed upon existing national and international regulations in order to effectively tackle local air quality issues as well as issues relating to wider spatial scales. National and EC policies which already exist provide a good basis for progress towards the air quality objectives set for 2003 to 2010. For example, the Environmental Protection Act 1990 allows for the monitoring and control of emissions from industrial processes and various EC Directives have ensured that road transport emission and fuel standards are in place. These policies are being developed to include more stringent controls. Developments in the UK include the announcement by the Environment Agency in January 2000 on controls on emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> from coal and oil fired power stations. This system of controls means that by the end of 2005 coal and oil fired power stations will meet the air quality standards set out in the AQS. Northern Ireland now has in place the Air Quality Limit Value regulation (NI) 2002, the Air Quality (Amended) Limit Value Regulations (NI) 2002 and the Air Quality (Ozone) Regulations (NI) 2003. The Government has recognised the problems associated with achieving the standard for ozone, a secondary pollutant and transboundary in nature and it is recognised that local authorities themselves can exert little influence on concentrations when they are the result of regional primary emission patterns.

Local air quality management provides a strategic role for local authorities in response to particular air quality problems experienced at a local level. This builds upon current air quality control responsibilities and places an emphasis on bringing together issues relating to transport, waste, energy and planning in an integrated way. This integrated approach involves a number of different aspects. It includes the development of an appropriate local framework that allows air quality issues to be considered alongside other issues relating to polluting activity. It should also enable co-

operation with and participation by the general public in addition to other transport, industrial and governmental authorities.

An important part of the Strategy is the requirement for local authorities to carry out air quality reviews and assessments of their area against which current and future compliance with air quality standards can be measured. Over the longer term, these will also enable the effects of policies to be studied and therefore help in the development of future policy. The Government has prepared guidance to help local authorities to use the most appropriate tools and methods for conducting a review and assessment of air quality in their Borough. This is part of a package of guidance being prepared to assist with the practicalities of implementing the AQS. Other guidance covers air quality and land use planning, air quality and traffic management and the development of local air quality action plans and strategies.

#### **2.2.4 Timescales to achieve the objectives**

In most local authorities, objectives will be met for most of the pollutants within the timescale of the objectives shown in Table 2.2. It is important to note that the objectives for NO<sub>2</sub> remain provisional.

### **2.3 AIR QUALITY REVIEWS**

A range of Technical Guidance has been issued to enable air quality to be monitored, modelled, reviewed and assessed in an appropriate and consistent fashion. This includes the Technical Guidance LAQM.TG(03), and the previous version LAQM.TG4(00) May 2000, on 'Review and Assessment: Pollutant Specific Guidance'. This review and assessment has considered the procedures set out in the guidance.

The primary objective of undertaking a review of air quality is to identify any areas that are unlikely to meet national air quality objectives and ensure that air quality is considered in local authority decision making processes. The complexity and detail required in a review depends on the risk of failing to achieve air quality objectives.

At present Northern Ireland District Councils are engaged in the 3 staged approach of review and assessment. Stage 1 equates to an 'updating and Screening assessment, and a stage 2 and 3 equates to a 'detailed assessment'. The Stages are briefly described in Table 2.3. The latest technical guidance LAQM.TG(03) is based on a revised '2 step' approach. The Steps are briefly described in Table 2.3B.

The department recommends that district councils where feasible should use the latest technical guidance LAQM.TG(03) to complete their first rounds of review and assessment. Where District councils have commenced using the old technical guidance (LAQM. TG4 (00)) they may continue using the old guidance. However the methodology should be cross-referenced with the new guidance.

In the previous stages of review and assessment areas of potential exceedence of the air quality objectives for SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> were identified following LAQM.TG4 (00). The latest guidance, LAQM.TG (03) requires the assessment to be carried out in greater detail by considering 500x500m areas. The detailed modelling carried out in this Stage 3 uses the 1x1km areas, but this takes account of all areas of significant domestic solid fuel burning. In practice, the high resolution modelling and the method of source definition used in this report means that defining a 1x1km area makes no difference to the output when compared with a smaller total area. This is because treatment of the sources with the present model is at a fine resolution of 10 – 20m, hence the model output for a given location is the same whether the area modelled is a 1x1km area or a 0.5x0.5km area or even less.

**Table 2.3**

Brief details of Stages in the Air Quality Review and Assessment process

Stage	Objective	Approach	Outcome
<b>First Stage Review and Assessment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify all significant pollutant sources within or outside of the authority’s area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compile and collate a list of potentially significant pollution sources using the assessment criteria described in the Pollutant Specific Guidance</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify those pollutants where there is a <b>risk</b> of exceeding the air quality objectives, and for which further investigation is needed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify sources requiring further investigation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision about whether a Stage 2 Review and Assessment is needed for one or more pollutants. If not, no further review and assessment is necessary.</li> </ul>
<b>Second Stage Review and Assessment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Further screening of significant sources to determine whether there is a significant risk of the air quality objectives being exceeded.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of screening models or monitoring methods to assess whether there is a risk of exceeding the air quality objectives.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify those pollutants where there is a <b>risk</b> of exceeding the objectives, and for which further investigation is needed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The assessment need only consider those locations where the highest likely concentrations are expected, and where public exposure is relevant.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision about whether a Stage 3 Review and Assessment is needed for one or more pollutants. If, as a result of estimations of ground level concentrations at suitable receptors, a local authority judges that there is no significant risk of not achieving an air quality objective, it can be confident that an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) will not be required.</li> <li>However, if there is doubt that an air quality objective will be achieved a third stage review should be conducted.</li> </ul>

**Table 2.3 (contd.)** Brief details of Stages in the first Review and Assessment process

Stage	Objective	Approach	Outcome
<b>Third Stage Review and Assessment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurate and detailed assessment of both current and future air quality. Assess the <b>likelihood</b> of the air quality objectives being exceeded.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of validated modelling and quality-assured monitoring methods to determine current and future pollutant concentrations.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify the geographical boundary of any exceedences, and description of those areas, if any, proposed to be designated as an AQMA.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The assessment will need to consider all locations where public exposure is relevant. For each pollutant of concern, it may be necessary to construct a detailed emissions inventory and model the extent, location and frequency of potential air quality exceedences.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Determine the location of any necessary Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs). Once an AQMA has been identified, there are further sets of requirements to be considered.</li> <li>A further assessment of air quality in the AQMA is required within 12 months which will enable the degree to which air quality objectives will not be met and the sources of pollution that contribute to this to be determined. A local authority must also prepare a written action plan for achievement of the air quality objective. Both air quality reviews and action plans are to be made publicly available.</li> </ul>

**Table 2.3B** Brief details of Steps in the revised Air Quality Review and Assessment process

Level of Assessment	Objective	Approach
<b>Updating and Screening Assessment (USA)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To identify those matters that have changed since the last review and assessment, which might lead to a risk of an air quality objective being exceeded.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use a checklist to identify significant changes that require further consideration.</li> <li>Where such changes are identified, then apply simple screening tools to decide whether there is sufficient risk of an exceedance of an objective to justify a detailed assessment.</li> </ul>
<b>Detailed Assessment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To provide an accurate assessment of the likelihood of an air quality objective being exceeded at locations with relevant exposure. This should be sufficiently detailed to allow the designation or amendment of any necessary AQMAs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use quality-assured monitoring and validated modelling methods to determine current and future pollutant concentrations in areas where there is a significant risk of exceeding an air quality objective.</li> </ul>

## 2.4 LOCATIONS THAT THE REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT MUST CONCENTRATE ON

For the purpose of review and assessment, the authority should focus their work on locations where members of the public are likely to be exposed over the averaging period of the objective. Table 2.4 summarises the locations where the objectives should and should not apply.

**Table 2.4** Typical locations where the objectives should and should not apply

Averaging Period	Pollutants	Objectives <i>should</i> apply at ...	Objectives <i>should not</i> generally apply at ...
<b>Annual mean</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1,3 Butadiene</li> <li>• Benzene</li> <li>• Lead</li> <li>• Nitrogen dioxide</li> <li>• Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All background locations where members of the public might be regularly exposed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Building facades of offices or other places of work where members of the public do not have regular access.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Building facades of residential properties, schools, hospitals, libraries etc.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gardens of residential properties.</li> </ul>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kerbside sites (as opposed to locations at the building facade), or any other location where public exposure is expected to be short term</li> </ul>
<b>24 hour mean and 8-hour mean</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Carbon monoxide</li> <li>• Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>)</li> <li>• Sulphur dioxide</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All locations where the annual mean objective would apply.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kerbside sites (as opposed to locations at the building facade), or any other location where public exposure is expected to be short term.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gardens of residential properties.</li> </ul>	

**Table 2.4 (contd.)** Typical locations where the objectives should and should not apply

Averaging Period	Pollutants	Objectives should apply at ...	Objectives should generally not apply at ...
<b>1 hour mean</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nitrogen dioxide</li> <li>• Sulphur dioxide</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All locations where the annual mean and 24 and 8-hour mean objectives apply.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kerbside sites where the public would not be expected to have regular access.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kerbside sites (e.g. pavements of busy shopping streets).</li> </ul>	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Those parts of car parks and railway stations etc. which are not fully enclosed.</li> </ul>	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any outdoor locations to which the public might reasonably be expected to have access.</li> </ul>	
<b>15 minute mean</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sulphur dioxide</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All locations where members of the public might reasonably be exposed for a period of 15 minutes or longer.</li> </ul>	

It is unnecessary to consider exceedences of the objectives at any location where public exposure over the relevant averaging period would be unrealistic, and the locations should represent non-occupational exposure.

## **Key Points**

- ◆ The Environment (Northern Ireland) Order 2002 has required the development of a National Air Quality Strategy for the control of air quality
- ◆ A central element in the Strategy is the use of air quality standards and associated objectives based on human health effects that have been included in the Air Quality Regulations.
- ◆ The Strategy uses a local air quality management approach in addition to existing national and international legislation. It promotes an integrated approach to air quality control by the various actors and agencies involved.
- ◆ Air quality objectives, with the exception of ozone, are to be achieved by specified dates up to the end of 2010.
- ◆ A number of air quality reviews are required in order to assess compliance with air quality objectives. The number of reviews necessary depends on the likelihood of achieving the objectives.

# 3 Information used to support this assessment

This Chapter presents the information used to support this review and assessment.

## 3.1 MAPS

Moyle District Council provided a detailed map of the 1x1km<sup>2</sup> area of concern. The area includes an area of significant coal burning in the Borough.

**All maps in this document are reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with permission of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.**

## 3.2 METEOROLOGICAL DATA USED IN THE DISPERSION MODELLING

Hourly sequential data was obtained for 2002 for the Aldergrove site for input into the ADMS dispersion model.

## 3.3 AMBIENT MONITORING

### 3.3.1 Particulates (PM<sub>10</sub>)

PM<sub>10</sub> has been measured:

- By continuous monitoring between 1<sup>st</sup> July 2002 and 30 June 2003 in Carrickfergus Borough at Rosebrook Avenue located approximately 70km from Bushmills in Moyle District. Monitoring data from this location was used because no monitoring data was available from Moyle.

The concentrations recorded by the continuous monitor are provided in Section 4.4.

### 3.3.2 Sulphur dioxide

Sulphur dioxide has been measured by:

- By continuous monitoring between 1<sup>st</sup> July 2002 and 30 June 2003 in Carrickfergus Brough at Rosebrook Avenue approximately 70km from Bushmills in Moyle. Monitoring data from this location was used because no monitoring data was available from Moyle.

The concentrations recorded by the continuous monitor are provided in Section 5.4.

A fuel use survey for the area to be modelled, described in chapter 6, has also been used to support the assessment.

# 4 Review and Assessment for PM<sub>10</sub> from domestic fuel combustion

## 4.1 INTRODUCTION

Airborne particulate matter varies widely in its physical and chemical composition, source and particle size. Particles are often classed as either primary (those emitted directly into the atmosphere) or secondary (those formed or modified in the atmosphere from condensation and growth). PM<sub>10</sub> particles (the fraction of particulates in air of very small size, <10 µm aerodynamic diameter) can potentially pose significant health risks as they are small enough to penetrate deep into the lungs. Larger particles are not readily inhaled.

A major source of fine primary particles is combustion processes, in particular diesel combustion, where transport of hot exhaust vapour into a cooler tailpipe or stack can lead to spontaneous nucleation of "carbon" particles before emission. Secondary particles are typically formed when low volatility products are generated in the atmosphere, for example the oxidation of sulphur dioxide to sulphuric acid. The atmospheric lifetime of particulate matter is strongly related to particle size, but may be as long as 10 days for particles of about 1 µm in diameter.

Concern about the potential health impacts of PM<sub>10</sub> has increased very rapidly over recent years. Increasingly, attention has been turning towards monitoring the smaller particle fraction, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, which is capable of penetrating deepest into the lungs, or to even smaller size fractions or total particle numbers.

## 4.2 LATEST STANDARDS AND OBJECTIVES FOR PM<sub>10</sub>

The government and the devolved administrations have adopted two air quality objectives for fine particles (PM<sub>10</sub>), which are the equivalent to the EU Stage 1 limit values in the first Air Quality Daughter Directive. The gravimetric objectives are:

- An annual mean of 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.
- A 24 hour mean of 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 35 days per year.

The EU has also set indicative limit values for PM<sub>10</sub> which are to be achieved by 1<sup>st</sup> January 2010. These stage 2 limit values are considerably more stringent and are:

- For England, Wales and Northern Ireland (except London), a 24 hour mean of 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 7 days per year and an annual mean of 20 µg/m<sup>3</sup> to be achieved by the end of 2010;
- For London, a 24 hour mean of 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 10 days per year and an annual mean of 23µg/m<sup>3</sup> to be achieved by the end of 2010. An annual mean objective of 20µg/m<sup>3</sup> to be achieved by the end of 2015 has also been set.

The 24 hour objective is more stringent than the annual mean objective in 2004. However, the opposite is true in 2010, and the annual mean objective is more stringent than the 24 hour objective. However there is presently no requirement for local authorities to achieve the 2010 objectives.

## 4.3 THE NATIONAL PERSPECTIVE

National UK emissions of primary PM<sub>10</sub> have been estimated as totalling 182,000 tonnes in 2001. Of this total, around 18% was derived from road transport sources, 11% from power stations and 21% from combustion in commercial and residential plant. It should be noted that, in general, the emissions estimates for PM<sub>10</sub> are less accurate than those for the other pollutants with prescribed objectives, especially for sources other than road transport.

The Government established the Airborne Particles Expert Group (APEG) to advise on sources of PM<sub>10</sub> in the UK and current and future ambient concentrations. Their conclusions were published in January 1999 (APEG, 1999). APEG concluded that a significant proportion of the current annual average PM<sub>10</sub> is due to the secondary formation of particulate sulphates and nitrates, resulting from the oxidation of sulphur and nitrogen oxides. These are regional scale pollutants and the annual concentrations do not vary greatly over a scale of tens of kilometres. There are also natural or semi-natural sources such as wind-blown dust and sea salt particles. The impact of local urban sources is superimposed on this regional background. Such local sources are generally responsible for winter episodes of hourly mean concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> above 100 µg m<sup>-3</sup> associated with poor dispersion. However, it is clear that many of the sources of PM<sub>10</sub> are outside the control of individual local authorities and the estimation of future concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> are in part dependent on predictions of the secondary particle component.

## 4.4 MONITORING DATA

PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations have been continuously monitored in Carrickfergus at Rosebrook Avenue, located approximately 70km from Bushmills in Moyle; for the period of 1<sup>st</sup> July 2002 and 30<sup>th</sup> June 2003.

All the PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations presented and used in this study are in gravimetric equivalents. A summary of the PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations recorded by the continuous monitor is provided in Table 4.4 below.

### *QA/QC of continuous monitoring data*

**netcen** has not undertaken any scaling or ratification of the dataset provided by Carrickfergus BC. However, netcen has undertaken a review of the data comparing the trends of the pollutants to other nearby National Network monitoring stations. The Carrickfergus dataset follows the same temporal variation as seen at the AURN station at Belfast Centre, providing a degree of confidence in the dataset.

### *Summary statistics*

Table 4.4 shows the daily average measured concentrations from the 1<sup>st</sup> July 2002 until the 30<sup>th</sup> June 2003.

**Table 4.4 Summary of continuous PM<sub>10</sub> ratified data from the 1<sup>st</sup> July 2002 to the 30<sup>th</sup> June 2003 inclusively. Concentrations are in gravimetric equivalents.**

	Concentration, µg m <sup>-3</sup>
	PM <sub>10</sub>
Average over period	20
Maximum daily mean	56
Data capture	78.2%

# 5 Review and Assessment for SO<sub>2</sub> from domestic fuel combustion

## 5.1 INTRODUCTION

Sulphur dioxide is a corrosive acid gas which combines with water vapour in the atmosphere to produce acid rain. Both wet and dry deposition have been implicated in the damage and destruction of vegetation and in the degradation of soils, building materials and watercourses. SO<sub>2</sub> in ambient air is also associated with asthma and chronic bronchitis.

The principal source of this gas is power stations burning fossil fuels which contain sulphur. Episodes of high concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> now only tend to occur in cities in which coal is still widely used for domestic heating, in industry and in power stations. As most power stations are now located away from urban areas, SO<sub>2</sub> emissions may affect air quality in both rural and urban areas. Since the decline in domestic coal burning in cities and in power stations overall, SO<sub>2</sub> emissions have diminished steadily and, in most European countries, they are no longer considered to pose a significant threat to health.

## 5.2 LATEST STANDARDS AND OBJECTIVES FOR SO<sub>2</sub>

Two new objectives have been introduced for SO<sub>2</sub> in the AQS based on the limit values in the Air Quality Daughter Directive. Hence there are now three objectives:

- 266 µg m<sup>-3</sup> as a 15 minute mean (maximum of 35 exceedences a year or equivalent to the 99.9<sup>th</sup> percentile) to be achieved by the 31<sup>st</sup> December 2005
- 350 µg m<sup>-3</sup> as a 1 hour mean (maximum of 24 exceedences a year or equivalent to the 99.7<sup>th</sup> percentile) to be achieved by the 31<sup>st</sup> December 2004
- 125 µg m<sup>-3</sup> as a 24 hour mean (maximum of 3 exceedences a year or equivalent to the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile) to be achieved by the 31<sup>st</sup> December 2004

The 15 minute mean objective is the most stringent; the other two objectives will not be exceeded if this objective is not exceeded.

## 5.3 THE NATIONAL PERSPECTIVE

Sulphur dioxide is emitted in the combustion of coal and oil. Emissions today are dominated by fossil fuelled power stations. Combustion in energy production accounted for 73% of the national total emission. Emissions from road transport are a very small fraction of the national total: less than 1% and combustion in Commercial, institutional and residential combustion accounted for 18% of the national total.

Exceedences of the 15-minute air quality standard currently occur near industrial processes for which the stack heights were designed to meet previous air quality standards and downwind of large combustion plant such as power stations. Exceedences are also possible in areas where significant quantities of coal are used for space heating. These large combustion plants are currently regulated under BATNEEC and the EPA 1990, and will come under the provisions of the IPPC. The government considers that bearing in mind the envisaged change in fuel use, it does not expect exceedences of the 15-minute objective by 2005 from these sources.

## 5.4 MONITORING DATA

Sulphur dioxide concentrations have been continuously monitored at Rosebrook Avenue in Carrickfergus located approximately 70km from Bushmills in Moyle from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2002 until 30<sup>th</sup> of June 2003. The site is in a dense domestic fuel burning area. A summary of the concentrations recorded at the site are shown in Table 5.4 below.

**netcen** has not undertaken any scaling or ratification of the dataset provided by Carrickfergus BC. However, netcen has undertaken a review of the data comparing the trends of the pollutants to other nearby National Network monitoring stations. The Carrickfergus dataset follows the same temporal variation as seen at the AURN station at Belfast Centre, providing a degree of confidence in the dataset.

**Table 5.4 Summary of continuous SO<sub>2</sub> data 1<sup>st</sup> July 2002 to the 30<sup>th</sup> June 2003**

	<b>SO<sub>2</sub> (µgm<sup>-3</sup>)</b>
Average	9
Maximum daily mean	52
Maximum hourly mean	186
Maximum 15 minute mean	237
Data capture	88.6%

The most stringent SO<sub>2</sub> objective is the 99.9 percentile 15 minute mean. If this objective is met then it is likely that all the other objectives will be met. The maximum 15 minute mean concentration at the Carrickfergus site was below the objective of 266 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for sulphur dioxide during the entire period of monitoring.

# 6 Results of the fuel use survey

## 6.1 INTRODUCTION

Moyle District Council have carried out a second domestic fuel use survey in one of two areas identified in the Stage 2 Review and Assessment as requiring modelling.

The survey aimed to determine the following:

- The types and quantities of fuels used in the domestic sector
- Seasonal use of heating fuels
- The types of heating appliances used
- Any proposed change in fuel usage
- The total number of houses that burn coal in each of the survey areas.

The survey area identified as requiring modelling was:

- Bushmills

The survey sampled 186 properties within the 1km<sup>2</sup> area where there were estimated to be 503 houses.

The results of the survey showed that oil was the most popular main fuel for heating, used by 71% of respondents. Coal/solid fuel was the second most popular primary fuel for heating as it was used by 28% of respondents.

66% of respondents stated that they did not use a secondary fuel for heating their homes, while 24% indicated they used electricity and 10% that they used coal/solid fuel as a secondary fuel for heating.

## 6.2 EMISSION FACTORS USED IN THE MODELLING

The SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions arising from domestic fuel combustion were taken from the UK emission factor database ([www.naei.org.uk](http://www.naei.org.uk)). This web site is managed by netcen on behalf of defra. The exception to this is the emission factor for sulphur dioxide from household coal, which has been taken from a CRE study carried out for Belfast City Council. This locally derived emission factor is more representative of fuel burnt in Northern Ireland.

**Table 6.2 Emissions arising from domestic fuel combustion**

Fuel type	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	Units
Anthracite	13	3.59	kt/mt fuel burnt
Burning Oil	0.42	0.01	kt/mt fuel burnt
Coal	10*	10	kt/mt fuel burnt
SSF	16	5.6	kt/mt fuel burnt

Source: UK emission factor database ([www.naei.org.uk](http://www.naei.org.uk))

SSF = solid smokeless fuel

\* - emission factor taken from CRE, 1997.

The emission factors provided in the above table have been used to derive PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions for both survey areas.

### 6.3 GRID 1 - BUSHMILLS

In Grid square 1, there were estimated to be 503 households. The following two tables summarise the results of the revised fuel use survey in this grid square.

**Table 6.4A % of households burning different fuel types in Bushmills.**

Use	Oil	gas	Electricity	coal/SF	Other	None
Main fuel	71	0	1	28	0	0
Secondary fuel	0	0	24	10	0	66

SF = solid fuel

**Table 6.4B The type of coal and / or solid smokeless fuel used as main fuel for heating in the Bushmills survey area (%)**

Type	Non-smokeless coal	Smokeless coal	Logs/sticks	Turf/peat
% who use	98	0	2	

In the Bushmills survey area it was found that the majority (71%) of households burnt oil for their main heating source. A significantly smaller proportion (28%) burnt coal or solid fuel.

The emission factors shown in Table 6.2 above have been applied to the results of the fuel survey for the grid square to calculate an average PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emission arising from each block of housing in the area.

# 7 Detailed modelling

## 7.1 METEOROLOGICAL DATA

Hourly sequential meteorological data for 2002 for Aldergrove was obtained. The meteorological data provided information on wind speed, direction, temperature and the extent of cloud cover for each hour of 2002.

## 7.2 OVERVIEW OF THE MODELLING APPROACH

The dispersion model ADMS 3.1 has been used to predict the PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> levels in Moyle District. ADMS is a PC-based model that includes an up-to-date representation of the atmospheric processes that contribute to pollutant dispersion and has been deemed suitable for use in the review and assessment process.

The emissions arising from each survey area have been modelled as volume sources 10m high with each emission point set at 5m high. Emissions have been weighted with both seasonal and diurnal emission patterns. The seasonal pattern was calculated on a degree day basis to weight emissions to the colder periods of the year following the BREDEM model (BREDEM, BRE, 1985). Temperature data for each hour was taken from the 2002 Aldergrove meteorological data.

The modelled concentrations have then been added to estimated background concentrations (taken from the netcen NAEI web site [www.naei.org.uk](http://www.naei.org.uk)).

### 7.2.1 Model bias

The monitoring site at Rosebrook Avenue, Carrickfergus has been used as a reference site: e.g. model concentrations for Bushmills in Moyle have been adjusted using the ratio of the modelled concentration at the Rosebrook Avenue monitoring site and the predicted measured value in 2002. The correction factor at this location was applied to the modelled output from Bushmills. The purpose of this adjustment at Carrickfergus was to ensure that the modelled concentrations equalled the measured values at the monitoring site. This same correction is then applied to Bushmills to correct model bias, in the absence of local monitoring data. Whilst there is therefore an element of uncertainty associated with using a bias correction from a different location, this is still preferable to the uncertainty associated with not correcting the model at all. The same modelling methodology has been used at Carrickfergus (see Targa, 2004) to maintain consistency and minimise the uncertainty of the bias correction as much as possible.

### 7.2.2 Model validation

The calculations have not taken account of:

- Uncertainties in the fuel use survey;
- Uncertainties in how the burning of domestic fuel might change in future years;
- Uncertainty resulting from year to year variations in atmospheric conditions;
- Uncertainty in emission factors (See section 6.2).

The above uncertainties are dealt with as fully as possible but it is important to remember that the modelling depends highly on the accuracy of the fuel use survey, which is a sample survey. It is assumed that the fuel use survey is representative and will remain representative of the fuel use at the time of the objectives. As we are assuming the fuel use profile will remain the same there is no need to correct the fuel use survey to the year of the objective. Predicted future background concentrations have been applied.

Likewise the modelling is taken to be representative of a year of the met conditions modelled but met conditions inevitably are open to year on year variability and this can cause variation in actual concentrations. The modelling is for 2002 as meteorological data and fuel use data are available for this year, but not yet available for the year of the objectives.

Emissions Factors are average emission factors and don't take account, for example, of natural variation in coal and its sulphur content variability.

## 7.3 RESULTS OF MODELLING

### 7.3.1 Bushmills

Figure 1 shows predicted SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the Bushmills area. The model predicts that the 99.9 percentile of the 15 minute mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentration will not be exceeded in any parts of the Borough. It has been assumed that domestic fuel burning in the area will not change between when the survey was carried out and 2004/5.

**Figure 1 – 99.9 percentile 15 minute mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations for the Bushmills grid (model results corrected for bias using monitoring data from Carrickfergus)**





Figure 2 shows the predicted PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations in the Bushmills area. The model predicts that the 90.41 percentile of 24 hour PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations in 2004 will not be exceeded in this area. It has been assumed that domestic fuel burning in the area will not change between when the survey was carried out and 2004/5

**Figure 2 – Predicted 90.4 percentile daily mean PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations for the Bushmills grid (model results corrected for bias using monitoring data from Carrickfergus)**



**7.4 SUMMARY OF THE LIKELIHOOD OF EXCEEDING THE OBJECTIVES FOR SO<sub>2</sub>**

Detailed modelling using ADMS version 3.1 has been undertaken at one location where large amounts of domestic fuel burning is common. The modelling (corrected for bias) predicts that in the Bushmills area exceedences of the SO<sub>2</sub> objectives are unlikely.

## 7.5 SUMMARY OF THE LIKELIHOOD OF EXCEEDING THE OBJECTIVES FOR PM<sub>10</sub>

Detailed modelling using ADMS version 3.1 has been undertaken at a location where large amounts of domestic fuel combustion is common. The modelling (corrected for bias) predicted that in Bushmills the PM<sub>10</sub> objectives are unlikely to be exceeded.

## 7.6 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is predicted that the air quality objectives will be met for SO<sub>2</sub> and therefore no further assessment is needed for this source.

It is predicted that the air quality objectives in Bushmills for PM<sub>10</sub> will be met and therefore no further assessment is necessary.

# 8 References

CRE, 1997. PM10 emission factors for domestic solid fuels. Report prepared for Belfast City Council. Report number: 7323-3. July 1997.

Defra (2003). Part IV of the Environment Act 1995. Local Air Quality Management. Technical Guidance LAQM. TG(03).

DETR (2000) The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions. Cm 4548, SE 2000/3, NIA 7.

NAEI (2002). UK Emissions of Air Pollutants 1970 - 2000. Goodwin, Salway, Dore, Murrells, Passant, King, Coleman, Hobson, Pye, Watterson, Haigh & Conolly. November 2002. Report produced by Netcen for Defra, National Assembly of Wales, the Scottish Executive and the Department of the Environment, Northern Ireland.

Targa (2004), Carrickfergus Borough Council – Domestic Fuel Combustion Stage 3 Review and Assessment


# Appendix 1

## **CONTENTS**

Carrickfergus Automatic Monitoring Station

## CARRICKFERGUS AUTOMATIC MONITORING STATION

Carrickfergus Borough Council has carried out monitoring of SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> since July 2002 with a continuous monitor in Carrickfergus Town. The exact location is shown in the map below.



Location of Automatic Monitoring Station in Carrickfergus

### Air Quality Statistics between 1<sup>st</sup> July 2002 to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2003


POLLUTANT	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>
Maximum 15-minute mean	237 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	574 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
Maximum hourly mean	186 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	287 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
Maximum running 24-hour mean	57 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	59 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
Maximum daily mean	52 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	56 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
Average	9 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	20 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
Data capture	88.6 %	78.2 %

### Air Quality Exceedences Statistics between 1<sup>st</sup> July 2002 to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2003

Pollutant	Air Quality Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2003	Exceedences	Days
Sulphur Dioxide	15-minute mean > 266 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	0	0
Sulphur Dioxide	Hourly mean > 350 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	0	0
Sulphur Dioxide	Daily mean > 125 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	0	0
PM <sub>10</sub> Particulate Matter (Grav)	Daily mean > 50 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	17	17
PM <sub>10</sub> Particulate Matter (Grav)	Annual mean > 40 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	0	-


**netcen** has not undertaken any scaling or ratification of the dataset provided by Carrickfergus BC. However, **netcen** has undertaken a review of the data comparing the trends of the pollutants to other nearby AURN monitoring stations. As can be seen in the figures below, the Carrickfergus dataset follows the same temporal variation as seen at the AURN station at Belfast Centre for PM<sub>10</sub> and Belfast Centre and Belfast East for SO<sub>2</sub>. This provides a degree of confidence in the dataset.

# PM<sub>10</sub> Hourly Mean Data for 1 July 2002 to 30 June 2003



PM10 Particulate Matter July 2002 to June 2003

# SO<sub>2</sub> Hourly Mean Data for 1 July 2002 to 30 June 2003



Sulphur Dioxide July 2002 to June 2003

