

Report

# **Air Quality Review and Assessment - Stage 3**

A report produced for Moyle District Council

Netcen/ED49246/Issue 1  
January 2004

<b>Title</b>	Air Quality Review and Assessment-Stage 3
<b>Customer</b>	Moyle District Council
<b>Customer reference</b>	
<b>Confidentiality, copyright reproduction and</b>	This document has been prepared by AEA Technology plc in connection with a contract to supply goods and/or services and is submitted only on the basis of strict confidentiality. The contents must not be disclosed to third parties other than in accordance with the terms of the contract.
<b>File reference</b>	\\WILLOW\LADS\Stage 3 R & A\2_Northern Group Systems Project_Sept 03\moyle\report
<b>Report number</b>	AEAT/ENV/R/1640
<b>Report status</b>	Issue 1

AEA Technology Environment  
 Culham  
 Abingdon  
 Oxfordshire  
 OX14 3DB  
 Telephone 01235 463173  
 Facsimile 01235 463038

AEA Technology is the trading name of AEA Technology plc  
 AEA Technology is certificated to BS EN ISO9001:(1994)

	<b>Name</b>	<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
<b>Author</b>	Susannah Grice Kate Haigh		
<b>Reviewed by</b>	Geoff Dollard		
<b>Approved by</b>	Beth Conlan		

# Executive Summary

The UK Government published its strategic policy framework for air quality management in 1995 establishing national strategies and policies on air quality. The NI Environment Order came into operation in January 2003 and implements both the European Air Framework Directive 96/62EC and the UK Air Quality Strategy. The Air Quality Strategy provides a framework for air quality control through air quality management and air quality objectives.

Under the Air Quality Strategy all Local Authorities are thus required to undertake an air quality review. In areas where air quality objectives are not anticipated to be met by the specified date, Local Authorities are required to establish Air Quality Management Areas to improve air quality.

Local Air Quality Management Policy Guidance (LAQM.PGNI (03)) is designed to help relevant authorities with their Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) duties under Part III of the Environment (NI) Order 2002. The Environment (NI) Order 2002 provides the framework for LAQM across Northern Ireland. The Air Quality Objectives set out in the Air Quality Regulations (NI) 2003 provide the statutory basis for the system of LAQM.

The first step in this process is to undertake a review of current and potential future air quality. The number of reviews necessary depends on the likelihood of achieving the objectives.

This report on domestic fuel combustion forms part of the stage three air quality review for Moyle District Council. Only PM<sub>10</sub> and sulphur dioxide are considered in this report. This is because PM<sub>10</sub> and sulphur dioxide are the only pollutants of concern when considering domestic fuel combustion. This report investigates PM<sub>10</sub> and sulphur dioxide levels through an examination of the location and size of domestic combustion sources, emissions modelling exercises and by reference to monitored air quality data.

As part of this report, detailed modelling using ADMS version 3.1 has been undertaken at two one kilometre square grids identified in the Stage 2 assessment. These are:

- 1 – Ballycastle
- 2 - Bushmills

The model results have been bias corrected using data from Rosebrook Avenue in Carrickfergus because there is no continuous monitoring at present of SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> in the Moyle District. This will provide indicative results and will alert Moyle District Council if concentrations in the borough are likely to exceed the objectives and therefore whether local monitoring should be undertaken.

## **Particulates (PM<sub>10</sub>)**

The modelling shows that an exceedence of the PM<sub>10</sub> objective is likely under certain meteorological conditions conducive to poor dispersion. On the basis that an exceedence is likely under specific meteorological conditions it is recommended that an Air Quality Management Area (AMQA) should be declared and a further assessment undertaken.

Monitoring should be undertaken at a location relevant to domestic fuel combustion in the modelled area. When a suitable period of monitoring data is available the modelling can then be revisited. This can take place under the action planning and further assessment phase. Local monitoring data would enable a local model verification bias adjustment to be calculated. Consideration could also be given to improving the reliability and coverage of the fuel use survey during the further assessment.

## **Sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)**

The modelling results suggest that there will not be an exceedence of the 15 minute mean SO<sub>2</sub> objective. This is the most stringent SO<sub>2</sub> objective and so it is likely that the hourly and daily SO<sub>2</sub> objectives will also be met.

Maps reproduced within this document

All maps in this document are reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with permission of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.

### **Acronyms and definitions**

ADMS	an atmospheric dispersion model
AQDD	Air Quality Daughter Directives
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
AQS	Air Quality Strategy
AURN	Automatic Urban and Rural Network
defra	Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DETR	Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions (now defra)
DoE NI	Department of Environment Northern Ireland
EA	Environment Agency
EPA	Environmental Protection Act
EPAQS	Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards
GIS	Geographical Information System
NAEI	National Atmospheric Emission Inventory
NAQS	National Air Quality Strategy (now called the Air Quality Strategy)
ppb	parts per billion
r	the correlation coefficient
$\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	micrograms per cubic meter

# Contents

1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	PURPOSE OF THE STUDY.....	1
1.2	GENERAL APPROACH TAKEN .....	1
1.3	VERSION OF THE POLLUTANT SPECIFIC GUIDANCE USED IN THIS ASSESSMENT .....	1
1.4	NUMBERING OF FIGURES AND TABLES .....	2
1.5	UNITS OF CONCENTRATION .....	2
1.6	STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT .....	2
2	THE UPDATED AIR QUALITY STRATEGY .....	3
2.1	THE NEED FOR AN AIR QUALITY STRATEGY .....	3
2.2	OVERVIEW OF THE PRINCIPLES AND MAIN ELEMENTS OF THE AIR QUALITY STRATEGY .....	4
2.3	AIR QUALITY REVIEWS .....	7
2.4	LOCATIONS THAT THE REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT MUST CONCENTRATE ON .....	12
3	INFORMATION USED TO SUPPORT THIS ASSESSMENT .....	15
3.1	MAPS.....	15
3.2	METEOROLOGICAL DATA USED IN THE DISPERSION MODELLING .....	15
3.3	AMBIENT MONITORING .....	15
3.4	OVERVIEW OF THE MODELLING APPROACH .....	15
4	REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT FOR PM <sub>10</sub> AND SO <sub>2</sub> FROM DOMESTIC FUEL COMBUSTION .....	17
5	RESULTS OF THE FUEL USE SURVEY .....	19
5.1	INTRODUCTION .....	19
5.2	EMISSION FACTORS USED IN THE MODELLING .....	19
5.3	GRID 1 - BALLYCASTLE .....	20
5.4	GRID 2 - BUSHMILLS .....	20
6	DETAILED MODELLING .....	21
6.1	RESULTS OF MODELLING .....	21
6.2	SUMMARY OF THE LIKELIHOOD OF EXCEEDING THE OBJECTIVES FOR SO <sub>2</sub> .....	25
6.3	SUMMARY OF THE LIKELIHOOD OF EXCEEDING THE OBJECTIVES FOR PM <sub>10</sub> .....	25
6.4	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	25
7	REFERENCES.....	26

## Appendices

Appendix 1	Automatic Monitoring Data: Rosebrook Avenue, Carrickfergus
Appendix 2	Aldergrove Meteorological Station Data
Appendix 3	Model Bias Correction

# 1 Introduction

The UK Government published its strategic policy framework for air quality management in 1995 establishing national strategies and policies on air quality. The NI Environment Order came into operation in January 2003 and implements both the European Air Framework Directive 96/62EC and the UK Air Quality Strategy. The Air Quality Strategy provides a framework for air quality control through air quality management and air quality objectives.

Under the Air Quality Strategy all Local Authorities are thus required to undertake an air quality review. In areas where air quality objectives are not anticipated to be met by the specified date, Local Authorities are required to establish Air Quality Management Areas to improve air quality.

Local Air Quality Management Policy Guidance (LAQM.PGNI (03)) is designed to help relevant authorities with their Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) duties under Part III of the Environment (NI) Order 2002. The Environment (NI) Order 2002 provides the framework for LAQM across Northern Ireland. The Air Quality Objectives set out in the Air Quality Regulations (NI) 2003 provide the statutory basis for the system of LAQM.

## 1.1 PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Netcen was commissioned to complete the domestic fuel combustion section of the third stage review and assessment for Moyle District Council (MDC).

## 1.2 GENERAL APPROACH TAKEN

The approach taken in this study was to:

- Collect fuel use survey data for the grids identified as requiring future assessment (carried out by Moyle District Council);
- Compile emission inventory for each area;
- Use monitoring data to assess the ambient concentrations produced by domestic fuel combustion and to verify the output of modelling studies;
- Model the concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> in each selected grid square including local background concentration using ADMS 3.1;
- Present the concentrations as contour plots, directly comparable to the relevant objectives, overlaid onto a map of local housing;
- Comment on the uncertainty in the predicted concentrations.

## 1.3 VERSION OF THE POLLUTANT SPECIFIC GUIDANCE USED IN THIS ASSESSMENT

In preparing this report the latest version of the Government Guidance has been used LAQM.TG(03) in conjunction with the previous 'Pollutant Specific Guidance' (2000).

## 1.4 NUMBERING OF FIGURES AND TABLES

The numbering scheme is not sequential, and the figures and tables are numbered according to the chapter and section that they relate to.

## 1.5 UNITS OF CONCENTRATION

The units throughout this report are presented in  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  and the  $\text{PM}_{10}$  levels are gravimetric equivalent (which is consistent with the presentation of the AQS objectives), unless otherwise noted.

## 1.6 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

This document is a Third Stage Air Quality review for Moyle District Council for  $\text{PM}_{10}$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  from domestic fuel combustion. This chapter, Chapter 1 summarises the approach to completing the study.

Chapter 2 of the report describes the most recent developments in the UK's Air Quality Strategy (AQS). In addition, it discusses when implementation of an AQMA is required.

Chapter 3 contains details of the information used to conduct the stage 3 review and assessment for Moyle District Council.

Chapter 4 describe the review and assessment standards for the two relevant pollutants,  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{PM}_{10}$  and the monitoring data used for these pollutants.

Chapter 5 presents the fuel use survey results.

Chapter 6 presents the detailed modelling. The results of this analysis are displayed as contour plots.



# 2 The updated Air Quality Strategy

## 2.1 THE NEED FOR AN AIR QUALITY STRATEGY

After agreement, in June 1998 at the European Union Environment Council, of a Common Position on the First Air Quality Daughter Directive (AQDD), the UK government published its proposals for review of the National Air Quality Strategy (in 1999). Subsequent to this review, the Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland was published in January 2000.

The Environment Order (NI) 2002 came into operation in January 2003 and implements both the European Air Framework Directive 96/62/EC, Daughter Directives and the UK Air Quality Strategy.

The Environment Order (NI) 2002 provides the framework for LAs to review air quality in Northern Ireland and for implementation of any AQMAs. It is issued by the Department of the Environment in Northern Ireland under Article 16 of the Environment (NI) Order 2002. Under the Order, District councils and other relevant authorities are required to have regard to published guidance when carrying out any of their duties under, or by virtue of, Part III of the order. The published guidance is outlined in Table 2.1 below.

Table 2.1 Environment Order (NI) 2002 Key Guidance:

- |   |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ The statutory background and the legislative framework within which relevant authorities have to work</li><li>▪ The new principles behind reviews and assessments of air quality up to 2010 and the recommended steps that relevant authorities should take</li><li>▪ The timetable for reviews and assessments up to 2010</li><li>▪ How district councils should handle the designation of AQMAs</li><li>▪ How relevant authorities should handle the drawing up and implementation of action plans</li><li>▪ Recommendations and suggestions on taking forward the development of local and regional air quality strategies</li><li>▪ Suggestions of how relevant authorities should consult and liaise with others</li><li>▪ Local transport measures which Roads Service might wish to consider</li><li>▪ The general principles behind air quality and land use planning; and</li><li>▪ How enforcing authorities should use powers of entry under Article 19 of the Order</li></ul> |
|---|

## **2.2 OVERVIEW OF THE PRINCIPLES AND MAIN ELEMENTS OF THE AIR QUALITY STRATEGY**

The main elements of the AQS can be summarised as follows:

- The use of a health effects based approach using air quality standards and objectives.
- The use of policies by which the objectives can be achieved and which include the input of important actors such as industry, transportation bodies and local authorities.
- The predetermination of timescales with target dates of 2003, 2004, 2005, 2008 and 2010 for the achievement of objectives and a commitment to review the Strategy every three years.

The UK Government intention is that the AQS provides a framework for the improvement of air quality that is both clear and workable. In order to achieve this, the Strategy is based on several principles which include:

- the provision of a statement of the Government's general aims regarding air quality;
- clear and measurable targets;
- a balance between local and national action and
- a transparent and flexible framework.

Co-operation and participation by different economic and governmental sectors is encouraged within the context of existing and potential future international policy commitments.

### **2.2.1 Air Quality Strategy**

At the centre of the AQS is the use of air quality standards to enable air quality to be measured and assessed. These also provide the means by which objectives and timescales for the achievement of objectives can be set. Most of the proposed standards have been based on the available information concerning the health effects resulting from different ambient concentrations of selected pollutants and are the consensus view of medical experts on the Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards (EPAQS). These standards and associated specific objectives to be achieved between 2003 and 2010 are shown in Table 2.2. The table shows the standards in  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  with the number of exceedences that are permitted (where applicable).

Table 2.2. Objectives included in the Air Quality Regulations (NI) 2003 for the purpose of Local Air Quality Management.

Pollutant	Air Quality Objective		Date to be achieved by
	Concentration	Measured as	
<b>Benzene</b>	16.25 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
	3.25 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$	Running annual mean	31.12.2010
<b>1,3 Butadiene</b>	2.25 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
<b>Carbon Monoxide</b>	10.0 $\text{mgm}^{-3}$	Maximum daily running 8-hour mean	31.12.2003
<b>Lead</b>	0.5 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$	Annual mean	31.12.2004
	0.25 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$	Annual mean	31.12.2008
<b>Nitrogen Dioxide<sup>1</sup></b>	200 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1 hour mean	31.12.2005
	40 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$	annual mean	31.12.2005
<b>Particles (PM<sub>10</sub>)<sup>2</sup> Gravimetric<sup>3</sup></b>	50 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$ not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24 hour mean	31.12.2004
	40 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$	annual mean	31.12.2004
<b>Sulphur Dioxide</b>	350 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$ not to be exceeded more than 24 times per year	1 hour mean	31.12.2004
	125 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$ not to be exceeded more than 3 times per year	24 hour mean	31.12.2004
	266 $\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$ not to be exceeded more than 35 times per year	15 minute mean	31.12.2005

Notes

1. The objectives for nitrogen dioxide are provisional.
2. Likely to be new particles objective for 2010, not in regulation at present, expected after the review of the EU's first Air Quality Daughter Directive (2005)
3. Measured using the European Gravimetric reference standard or equivalent.

### 2.2.2 Relationship between the UK Air Quality Standards and EU air quality Limit Values

As a member state of the EU, the UK must comply with European Union Directives.

There are four EU ambient air quality directives that the UK has transposed into UK law. These are:

- **96/62/EC** Council Directive of 27 September 1996 on ambient air quality assessment and management. (the Ambient Air Framework Directive)
- **1999/30/EC** Council Directive of 22 April 1999 relating to limit values for sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, oxides of nitrogen, particulate matter and lead in ambient air. (the First Daughter Directive)
- **2000/69/EC** Directive of the European Parliament and the Council of 16 Nov 2000 relating to limit values for benzene and carbon monoxide in ambient air. (the Second Daughter Directive)
- **2002/03/EC** Directive of the European Parliament and the Council of 12 Feb 2002 relating to ozone in ambient air. (the third Daughter Directive)

The first, second and third daughter directives contain air quality Limit Values for the pollutants that are listed in the framework directive. The United Kingdom (i.e. Great Britain and Northern Ireland) must comply with these Limit Values. The UK Air Quality Strategy must comply with the limit values set out in the EU Air Quality Daughter Directives, but the UK Air Quality Strategy also includes stricter objectives for some pollutants, for example, sulphur dioxide.

The UK Government is ultimately responsible for achieving the EU limit values. However, it is important that Local Air Quality Management is used as a tool to ensure that the necessary action is taken at local level to work towards achieving the EU limit values by the dates specified in the relevant EU Directives.

### **2.2.3 Policies in place to allow these objectives to be achieved**

The policy framework to allow these objectives to be achieved is one that takes a Local Air Quality Management approach. This is superimposed upon existing national and international regulations in order to effectively tackle local air quality issues as well as issues relating to wider spatial scales. National and EC policies which already exist provide a good basis for progress towards the air quality objectives set for 2003 to 2010. For example, the Environmental Protection Act 1990 allows for the monitoring and control of emissions from industrial processes and various EC Directives have ensured that road transport emission and fuel standards are in place. These policies are being developed to include more stringent controls. Developments in the UK include the announcement by the Environment Agency in January 2000 on controls on emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> from coal and oil fired power stations. This system of controls means that by the end of 2005 coal and oil fired power station emissions will result in ambient concentrations that meet the air quality standards set out in the AQS.

Northern Ireland now has in place the Air Quality Limit Value regulation (NI) 2002, the Air Quality (Amended) Limit Value Regulations (NI) 2002 and the Air Quality (Ozone) Regulations (NI) 2003. The Government has recognised the problems associated with achieving the standard for ozone, a secondary pollutant and transboundary in nature and it is recognised that local authorities themselves can exert little influence on concentrations when they are the result of regional primary emission patterns. For this reason Ozone is specifically excluded from the LAQM regime.

Local air quality management provides a strategic role for local authorities in response to particular air quality problems experienced at a local level. This builds upon current air quality control responsibilities and places an emphasis on bringing together issues relating to transport, waste, energy and planning in an integrated way. This integrated approach involves a number of different aspects. It includes the development of an appropriate local framework that allows air quality issues to be considered alongside other issues relating to polluting activity. It should also enable co-operation with and participation by the general public in addition to other transport, industrial and governmental authorities.

The Government has prepared guidance to help local authorities to use the most appropriate tools and methods for conducting a review and assessment of air quality in their Borough. This is part of a package of guidance being prepared to assist with the practicalities of implementing the AQS. Other

guidance covers air quality and land use planning, air quality and traffic management and the development of local air quality action plans and strategies.

#### **2.2.4 Timescales to achieve the objectives**

Objectives are to be met within the timescales shown in Table 2.2. Note: the objectives for NO<sub>2</sub> remain provisional.

## **2.3 AIR QUALITY REVIEWS**

A range of Technical Guidance has been issued to enable air quality to be monitored, modelled, reviewed and assessed in an appropriate and consistent fashion. This includes the Technical Guidance LAQM.TG(03), and the previous version LAQM.TG4(00) May 2000, on 'Review and Assessment: Pollutant Specific Guidance'. This review and assessment has considered the procedures set out in the guidance.

The primary objective of undertaking a review of air quality is to identify any areas that are unlikely to meet air quality objectives and ensure that air quality is considered in local authority decision making processes. The complexity and detail required in a review depends on the risk of failing to achieve air quality objectives.

At present Councils in Northern Ireland are engaged in the 3 staged approach to review and assessment as set out in the original technical guidance. The Stages are briefly described in Table 2.3. The latest technical guidance LAQM.TG(03) is based on a revised '2 step' approach. The revised steps are briefly described in Table 2.4. In this process a Stage 1 equates to an 'updating and Screening assessment, and a stage 2 and 3 equates to a 'detailed assessment'.

The department recommends that councils where feasible should use the latest technical guidance LAQM.TG(03) to complete their first rounds of review and assessment. Where councils have commenced using the old technical guidance (LAQM. TG (00)) they may continue using the old guidance. However the methodology should be cross-referenced with the new guidance.

As Moyle had already embarked on the stage 3 review and assessment the domestic fuel grids assessed are 1x1km grids, as defined in (LAQM. TG4 (00)). Other than this however, the latest technical guidance LAQM.TG (03) has been used as the guidance document for the domestic fuel combustion modelling methodology. In practice, the high resolution modelling and the method of source definition used in this report means that defining a 1x1km area makes no difference to the output when compared with a smaller total area. This is because the source is modelled the same irrespective of whether a smaller total area were modelled, for example; 500m x 500m.

In the previous stages of review and assessment areas of potential exceedence of the air quality objectives for SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> were identified following LAQM.TG4 (00). The latest guidance, LAQM.TG (03) requires the assessment to be carried out in greater detail by considering 500x500m areas. The detailed modelling carried out in this Stage 3 uses the 1x1km areas, but this takes account of all areas of significant domestic solid fuel burning. In practice, the high resolution modelling and the method of source definition used in this report means that defining a 1x1km area makes no difference to the output when compared with a smaller total area. This is because treatment of the sources with the present model is at a resolution of 10 – 20m, hence the model output for a given location is the same whether the area modelled is a 1x1km area or a 0.5x0.5km area or less.

**Table 2.3**

Brief details of Stages in the Air Quality Review and Assessment process (LAQM.TG4(00))

Stage	Objective	Approach	Outcome
<b>First Stage Review and Assessment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify all significant pollutant sources within or outside of the authority’s area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compile and collate a list of potentially significant pollution sources using the assessment criteria described in the Pollutant Specific Guidance</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision about whether a Stage 2 Review and Assessment is needed for one or more pollutants. If not, no further review and assessment is necessary.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify those pollutants where there is a <b>risk</b> of exceeding the air quality objectives, and for which further investigation is needed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify sources requiring further investigation.</li> </ul>	
<b>Second Stage Review and Assessment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Further screening of significant sources to determine whether there is a significant risk of the air quality objectives being exceeded.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of screening models or monitoring methods to assess whether there is a risk of exceeding the air quality objectives.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision about whether a Stage 3 Review and Assessment is needed for one or more pollutants. If, as a result of estimations of ground level concentrations at suitable receptors, a local authority judges that there is no significant risk of not achieving an air quality objective, it can be confident that an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) will not be required.</li> <li>However, if there is doubt that an air quality objective will be achieved a third stage review should be conducted.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify those pollutants where there is a <b>risk</b> of exceeding the objectives, and for which further investigation is needed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The assessment need only consider those locations where the highest likely concentrations are expected, and where public exposure is relevant.</li> </ul>	

**Table 2.3 (contd.)** Brief details of Stages in the first Review and Assessment process

Stage	Objective	Approach	Outcome
<b>Third Stage Review and Assessment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurate and detailed assessment of both current and future air quality. Assess the <b>likelihood</b> of the air quality objectives being exceeded.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of validated modelling and quality-assured monitoring methods to determine current and future pollutant concentrations.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify the geographical boundary of any exceedences, and description of those areas, if any, proposed to be designated as an AQMA.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The assessment will need to consider all locations where public exposure is relevant. For each pollutant of concern, it may be necessary to construct a detailed emissions inventory and model the extent, location and frequency of potential air quality exceedences.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Determine the location of any necessary Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs). Once an AQMA has been identified, there are further sets of requirements to be considered.</li> <li>A further assessment of air quality in the AQMA is required within 12 months which will enable the degree to which air quality objectives will not be met and the sources of pollution that contribute to this to be determined. A local authority must also prepare a written action plan for achievement of the air quality objective. Both air quality reviews and action plans are to be made publicly available.</li> </ul>

**Table 2.4** Brief details of Steps in the revised Air Quality Review and Assessment process (LAQM.TG(03))

Level of Assessment	Objective	Approach
<b>Updating and Screening Assessment (USA)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To identify those matters that have changed since the last review and assessment, which might lead to a risk of an air quality objective being exceeded.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use a checklist to identify significant changes that require further consideration.</li> <li>Where such changes are identified, then apply simple screening tools to decide whether there is sufficient risk of an exceedence of an objective to justify a detailed assessment.</li> </ul>
<b>Detailed Assessment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To provide an accurate assessment of the likelihood of an air quality objective being exceeded at locations with relevant exposure. This should be sufficiently detailed to allow the designation or amendment of any necessary AQMAs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use quality-assured monitoring and validated modelling methods to determine current and future pollutant concentrations in areas where there is a significant risk of exceeding an air quality objective.</li> </ul>



## 2.4 LOCATIONS THAT THE REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT MUST CONCENTRATE ON

*For the purpose of review and assessment, the authority should focus their work on locations where members of the public are likely to be exposed over the averaging period of the objective. Table 2.5 summarises the locations where the objectives should and should not apply.*

**Table 2.5** Typical locations where the objectives should and should not apply

Averaging Period	Pollutants	Objectives apply at ...	<i>should</i>	Objectives should <i>not</i> generally apply at ...	
<b>Annual mean</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1,3 Butadiene</li> <li>• Benzene</li> <li>• Lead</li> <li>• Nitrogen dioxide</li> <li>• Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All background locations where members of the public might be regularly exposed.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Building facades of offices or other places of work where members of the public do not have regular access.</li> </ul>	
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Building facades of residential properties, schools, hospitals, libraries etc.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gardens of residential properties.</li> </ul>
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kerbside sites (as opposed to locations at the building facade), or any other location where public exposure is expected to be short term</li> </ul>
<b>24 hour mean and 8-hour mean</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Carbon monoxide</li> <li>• Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>)</li> <li>• Sulphur dioxide</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All locations where the annual mean objective would apply.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kerbside sites (as opposed to locations at the building facade), or any other location where public exposure is expected to be short term.</li> </ul>	
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gardens of residential properties.</li> </ul>	

**Table 2.5 (contd.)** Typical locations where the objectives should and should not apply

Averaging Period	Pollutants	Objectives should apply at ...	Objectives should generally not apply at ...
<b>1 hour mean</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nitrogen dioxide</li> <li>• Sulphur dioxide</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All locations where the annual mean and 24 and 8-hour mean objectives apply.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kerbside sites where the public would not be expected to have regular access.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kerbside sites (e.g. pavements of busy shopping streets).</li> </ul>	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Those parts of car parks and railway stations etc. which are not fully enclosed.</li> </ul>	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any outdoor locations to which the public might reasonably be expected to have access.</li> </ul>	
<b>15 minute mean</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sulphur dioxide</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All locations where members of the public might reasonably be exposed for a period of 15 minutes or longer.</li> </ul>	

It is unnecessary to consider exceedences of the objectives at any location where public exposure over the relevant averaging period would be unrealistic, and the locations should represent non-occupational exposure.

## AQS Key Points

- The Environment (Northern Ireland) Order 2002 has implemented an Air Quality Strategy for the control of air quality.
- A central element in the Strategy is the use of air quality standards and associated objectives based on human health effects that have been included in the Air Quality Regulations.
- The Strategy uses a local air quality management approach in addition to existing national and international legislation. It promotes an integrated approach to air quality control by the various actors and agencies involved.
- Air quality objectives, with the exception of ozone, are to be achieved by specified dates up to the end of 2010.
- A number of air quality reviews are required in order to assess compliance with air quality objectives. The number of reviews necessary depends on the likelihood of achieving the objectives

# 3 Information used to support this assessment

This Chapter presents the information used to support this review and assessment.

## 3.1 MAPS

Moyle District Council provided detailed maps of each of the two 1x1km<sup>2</sup> areas of concern. The areas include areas of significant coal burning in the Borough.

All maps in this document are reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with permission of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.

## 3.2 METEOROLOGICAL DATA USED IN THE DISPERSION MODELLING

Hourly sequential data was obtained for 2002 and 2003 for the Aldergrove site for input into the ADMS dispersion model. 2002 data was used for the modelling and a combination between 2002 and 2003 was used to bias correct the modelling work as this covers the same period of monitoring data available for PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> (July 2002 – June 2003).

## 3.3 AMBIENT MONITORING

Moyle do not have local monitoring data. Carrickfergus Borough Council has carried out monitoring of SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> since July 2002 with continuous monitors in Carrickfergus Town (341130, 387999). The instrumentation employed uses UV fluorescence for the measurement of SO<sub>2</sub> and the TEOM technique for PM<sub>10</sub>, these methods are appropriate for Detailed Assessment under LAQM (LAQM TG(03)). All TEOM data are quoted as gravimetric equivalent in accordance with the guidance. Appendix 1 provides more details about this local air quality monitoring programme.

**netcen** has not undertaken any scaling or ratification of the dataset provided by Carrickfergus BC. However, netcen has undertaken a review of the data comparing the trends of the pollutants to other nearby National Network monitoring stations. The Carrickfergus dataset follows the same temporal variation as seen at the AURN station at Belfast Centre, providing a degree of confidence in the dataset.

A fuel use survey for the areas to be modelled, described in chapter 6, has also been used to support the assessment.

## 3.4 OVERVIEW OF THE MODELLING APPROACH

The dispersion model ADMS 3.1 has been used to predict the PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> levels in Carrickfergus BC. ADMS is a PC-based model that includes an up-to-date representation of the atmospheric processes that contribute to pollutant dispersion and has been deemed suitable for use in the review and assessment process.

The emissions arising from each survey area have been modelled as volume sources 10m high with each emission point set at 5m high. Emissions have been weighted with both seasonal and diurnal emission patterns. The seasonal pattern was calculated on a degree day basis to weight emissions to the colder periods of the year following the BREDEM model (BREDEM, BRE, 1985). Temperature data for each hour was taken from the 2002 Aldergrove meteorological data.

The modelled concentrations have then been added to estimated background concentrations (taken from the netcen NAEI web site [www.naei.org.uk](http://www.naei.org.uk)).

#### **3.4.1 Model bias**

The monitoring site at Carrickfergus Town (Rosebrook Avenue) has been used as a reference site to bias correct the model results. The monitoring data was reviewed by **netcen** as explained in section 3.2.1.

The purpose of this adjustment was to ensure that the modelled concentrations equalled the measured values at the monitoring locations. The same modelling methodology has been used at other Local Authorities to maintain consistency in the modelling approach and thus minimise the uncertainty of applying a generic bias correction.

More details of the bias correction are given in Appendix 3

#### **3.4.2 Model uncertainties**

The calculations have not taken account of:

- Uncertainties in the fuel use survey;
- Uncertainties in how the burning of domestic fuel might change in future years;
- Uncertainty resulting from year to year variations in atmospheric conditions;
- Uncertainty in emission factors
- Uncertainty in monitoring data

The above uncertainties are dealt with as fully as possible but it is important to remember that the modelling depends highly on the accuracy of the fuel use survey, which is a sample survey. It is assumed that the fuel use survey is representative and will remain representative of the fuel use at the time of the objectives. As we are assuming the fuel use profile will remain the same there is no need to correct the fuel use survey to the year of the objective. Predicted future background concentrations have been applied.

The dispersion modelling is based upon the meteorology and emissions for 2002, clearly meteorological conditions will vary from year to year but overall would be expected to be broadly representative of local conditions for the year of the objectives.

The monitoring data was provided by Carrickfergus Borough Council for July 03 to June 04.

Emissions Factors are average emission factors and do not take into account, for example natural variation in coal and its sulphur content variability.

# 4 Review and Assessment for PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> from domestic fuel combustion

## 4.1.1 PM<sub>10</sub>

Airborne particulate matter varies widely in its physical and chemical composition, source and particle size. Particles are often classed as either primary (those emitted directly into the atmosphere) or secondary (those formed or modified in the atmosphere from condensation and growth). PM<sub>10</sub> particles (the fraction of particles in air size <10 µm aerodynamic equivalent diameter) can potentially pose significant health risks as they are small enough to penetrate deep into the lungs. Larger particles are not readily inhaled.

A major source of fine primary particles is combustion processes, in particular diesel combustion, where transport of hot exhaust vapour into a cooler tailpipe or stack can lead to spontaneous nucleation of "carbon" particles before emission. Secondary particles are typically formed when low volatility products are generated in the atmosphere, for example the oxidation of sulphur dioxide to sulphuric acid. The atmospheric lifetime of particulate matter is strongly related to particle size, but may be as long as 10 days for particles of about 1 µm in diameter.

Concern about the potential health impacts of PM<sub>10</sub> has increased very rapidly over recent years. Increasingly, attention has been turning towards monitoring the smaller particle fraction, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and even smaller size fractions or total particle numbers.

### *Objectives for particulate matter*

The Air Quality Strategy objectives to be achieved by 31<sup>st</sup> December 2004 are:

- An annual average concentration of 40 µg m<sup>-3</sup> (gravimetric);
- A maximum 24-hourly mean concentration of 50 µg m<sup>-3</sup> (gravimetric) not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year.

### *The National Perspective*

National UK emissions of primary PM<sub>10</sub> have been estimated as totalling 182,000 tonnes in 2001. Of this total, around 18% was derived from road transport sources, 11% from power stations and 21% from combustion in commercial and residential. It should be noted that, in general, the emissions estimates for PM<sub>10</sub> are less accurate than those for the other pollutants with prescribed objectives, especially for sources other than road transport.

The Government established the Airborne Particles Expert Group (APEG) to advise on sources of PM<sub>10</sub> in the UK and current and future ambient concentrations. Their conclusions were published in January 1999 (APEG, 1999)<sup>5</sup>. APEG concluded that a significant proportion of the current annual average PM<sub>10</sub> is due to the secondary formation of particulate sulphates and nitrates, resulting from the oxidation of sulphur and nitrogen oxides. These are regional scale pollutants and the annual concentrations do not vary greatly over a scale of tens of kilometres. There are also natural or semi-natural sources such as wind-blown dust and sea salt particles. The impact of local urban sources is superimposed on this regional background. Such local sources are generally responsible for winter episodes of hourly mean concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> above 100 µg m<sup>-3</sup> associated with poor dispersion. However, it is clear that many of the sources of PM<sub>10</sub> are outside the control of individual local authorities and the estimation of future concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> are in part dependent on predictions of the secondary particle component.

## 4.1.2 SO<sub>2</sub>

Sulphur dioxide is a corrosive acid gas which combines with water vapour in the atmosphere to produce acid rain. Both wet and dry deposition have been implicated in the damage and destruction of vegetation and in the

degradation of soils, building materials and watercourses. SO<sub>2</sub> in ambient air is also associated with asthma and chronic bronchitis.

The principal source of this gas is power stations burning fossil fuels which contain sulphur. Episodes of high concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> now only tend to occur in cities in which coal is still widely used for domestic heating, in areas affected by heavy industry and in footprints of power stations. As some power stations are now located away from urban areas, SO<sub>2</sub> emissions may affect air quality in both rural and urban areas. Since the decline in domestic coal burning in cities and in power stations overall, SO<sub>2</sub> emissions have diminished steadily and, in most European countries, they are no longer considered to pose a significant threat to health.

#### *Objectives for sulphur dioxide*

the Air Quality Strategy Objectives to be achieved are:

- 266 µg m<sup>-3</sup> as a 15 minute mean (maximum of 35 exceedences a year or equivalent to the 99.9<sup>th</sup> percentile) to be achieved by the 31<sup>st</sup> December 2005
- 350 µg m<sup>-3</sup> as a 1 hour mean (maximum of 24 exceedences a year or equivalent to the 99.7<sup>th</sup> percentile) to be achieved by the 31<sup>st</sup> December 2004
- 125 µg m<sup>-3</sup> as a 24 hour mean (maximum of 3 exceedences a year or equivalent to the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile) to be achieved by the 31<sup>st</sup> December 2004

The 15 minute mean objective is the most stringent; the other two objectives are unlikely to be exceeded if this objective is not exceeded.

#### *The National Perspective*

Sulphur dioxide is emitted in the combustion of coal and oil. Emissions today are dominated by fossil fuelled power stations. Combustion in energy production accounted for 73% of the national total emission. Emissions from road transport are a very small fraction of the national total: less than 1% and combustion in Commercial, institutional and residential combustion accounted for 18% of the national total.

Exceedences of the 15-minute air quality standard currently occur near industrial processes for which the stack heights were designed to meet previous air quality standards and downwind of large combustion plant such as power stations. Exceedences are also possible in areas where significant quantities of coal are used for space heating. These large combustion plants are currently regulated under BATNEEC and the EPA 1990, and will come under the provisions of the IPPC. The government considers that bearing in mind the envisaged change in fuel use, it does not expect exceedences of the 15-minute objective by 2005 from these sources.

# 5 Results of the fuel use survey

## 5.1 INTRODUCTION

Moyle District Council carried out a domestic fuel use survey in two areas identified in the Stage 2 Review and Assessment as requiring modelling.

The survey aimed to determine the following:

- The types and quantities of fuels used in the domestic sector
- Seasonal use of heating fuels
- The types of heating appliances used
- Any proposed change in fuel usage
- Tenure type
- The total number of houses that burn coal in each of the survey areas.

The two survey areas identified as requiring modelling were:

- Ballycastle
- Bushmills

The survey sampled 25% of owner occupied properties within these two 1km<sup>2</sup> areas.

**Table 6.1 Estimated number of houses in each survey area**

Grid Area	No. houses
Ballycastle	780
Bushmills	503

The results of the survey showed that, in both areas, oil was the most popular main fuel for heating, used by 79% and 49% of respondents in Ballycastle and Bushmills respectively. Coal/solid fuel was the second most popular primary fuel for heating in both Ballycastle (19% of respondents) and Bushmills (47% of respondents).

In Ballycastle 45% of respondents stated they did not use a secondary fuel for heating their homes, while 39% used coal/solid fuel as a secondary source of heat. In Bushmills, 85% of respondents indicated they did not use a secondary fuel, while 14% used coal/solid fuel as a secondary heat source.

## 5.2 EMISSION FACTORS USED IN THE MODELLING

The SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions arising from domestic fuel combustion were taken from the UK emission factor database ([www.naei.org.uk](http://www.naei.org.uk)). This web site is managed by netcen on behalf of defra. The exception to this is the emission factor for sulphur dioxide from household coal, which has been taken from a CRE study carried out for Belfast City Council. This locally derived emission factor is more representative of fuel burnt in Northern Ireland.

**Table 6.2 Emissions arising from domestic fuel combustion**

Fuel type	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	Units
Anthracite	13	3.59	kt/mt fuel burnt
Burning Oil	0.42	0.01	kt/mt fuel burnt
Coal	10*	10	kt/mt fuel burnt
SSF	16	5.6	kt/mt fuel burnt

Source: UK emission factor database ([www.naei.org.uk](http://www.naei.org.uk))

SSF = solid smokeless fuel

\* - emission factor taken from CRE, 1997.



The emission factors provided in the above table have been used to derive PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions for both survey areas.

### 5.3 GRID 1 - BALLYCASTLE

In Grid square 1, there were estimated to be 780 households. The following two tables summarise the results of the survey in this grid. The Moyle fuel use survey provides further details.

**Table 6.3A % of households burning different fuel types in the Ballycastle area.**

Use	Oil	gas	Electricity	coal/SF	Other	None
Main fuel	79	0	1	19	1	0
Secondary fuel	0	5	11	39	0	45

SF = solid fuel

**Table 6.3B The type of coal and / or solid smokeless fuel used as main fuel for heating in the Ballycastle survey area (%).**

Type	Non-smokeless coal	Smokeless coal	Logs/sticks	Turf/peat
% who use	91	2	3	4

In the Ballycastle survey area, it was found that the majority (79%) of households burned oil as their primary fuel. A smaller proportion (19%) burnt coal or solid fuel.

The emission factors shown in Table 6.2 above have been applied to the results of the fuel survey for grid square 1 to calculate an average PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emission arising from each block of housing in the area.

### 5.4 GRID 2 - BUSHMILLS

In Grid square 2, there were estimated to be 503 households. The following two tables summarise the results of the fuel survey in this grid 2. For further details please see The Moyle fuel use survey .

**Table 6.4A % of households burning different fuel types in Bushmills.**

Use	Oil	gas	Electricity	coal/SF	Other	None
Main fuel	49	0	4	47	0	0
Secondary fuel	0	0	1	14	0	85

SF = solid fuel

**Table 6.4B The type of coal and / or solid smokeless fuel used as main fuel for heating in the Bushmills survey area (%)**

Type	Non-smokeless coal	Smokeless coal	Logs/sticks	Turf/peat
% who use	67	29	2	2

In the Bushmills survey area it was found that the majority (49%) of households burnt oil for their main heating source. A slightly smaller proportion (47%) burnt coal or solid fuel.

The emission factors shown in Table 6.2 above have been applied to the results of the fuel survey for grid square 2 to calculate an average PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emission arising from each block of housing in the area.

# 6 Detailed modelling

## 6.1 RESULTS OF MODELLING

### 6.1.1 Ballycastle

Figure 1 shows predicted SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the Ballycastle area. The model predicts that the 99.9 percentile of the 15 minute mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentration will not be exceeded in any parts of the Borough. It has been assumed that domestic fuel burning in the area will not change between when the survey was carried out and 2004/5.

**Figure 1 – 99.9 percentile 15 minute mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations for the Ballycastle grid (model results corrected for bias using monitoring data from Carrickfergus)**





Figure 2 shows the predicted  $PM_{10}$  concentrations in the Ballycastle area. The model predicts that the 90.41 percentile of 24 hour  $PM_{10}$  concentrations in 2004 will not be exceeded in this area. It has been assumed that domestic fuel burning in the area will not change between when the survey was carried out and 2004/5

Figure 2 - Predicted 90.4 percentile daily mean  $PM_{10}$  concentrations for the Ballycastle grid (model results corrected for bias using monitoring data from Carrickfergus)



6.1.2 Bushmills

Figure 3 shows modelled SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in Bushmills in 2002. The model predicts that the 99.9 percentile of the 15 minute mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations will not be exceeded.

**Figure 3 – 99.9 percentile 15 minute mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations for the Bushmills area (model results corrected for bias using monitoring data from Carrickfergus)**





Figure 4 shows modelled PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations in the Bushmills area in 2002. The model predicts that the 90.41 percentile of 24 hour PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations will be exceeded in this area.

**Figure 4 – 90.4 percentile daily mean PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations for the Bushmills grid (model results corrected for bias using monitoring data from Carrickfergus)**



## 6.2 SUMMARY OF THE LIKELIHOOD OF EXCEEDING THE OBJECTIVES FOR SO<sub>2</sub>

Detailed modelling using ADMS version 3.1 has been undertaken at two locations where large amounts of domestic fuel burning is common. The modelling predicts that in both the Ballycastle area and in the Bushmills area exceedences of the SO<sub>2</sub> objectives are unlikely.

## 6.3 SUMMARY OF THE LIKELIHOOD OF EXCEEDING THE OBJECTIVES FOR PM<sub>10</sub>

Detailed modelling using ADMS version 3.1 has been undertaken at two locations where large amounts of domestic fuel combustion is common. The modelling predicted that in Ballycastle the PM<sub>10</sub> objectives are unlikely to be exceeded. The model predicted that in Bushmills the PM<sub>10</sub> objective is likely to be exceeded.

## 6.4 RECOMMENDATIONS

The modelling shows that an exceedence of the PM<sub>10</sub> objective is possible under certain meteorological conditions conducive to poor dispersion. On the basis that an exceedence is likely under specific meteorological conditions an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) should be declared and a further assessment undertaken. Monitoring should be undertaken at a location relevant to domestic fuel combustion in the modelled area. When a suitable period of monitoring data is available the modelling can then be revisited and considered again. This can take place under the action planning and further assessment phase. Local monitoring data would enable a local model verification bias adjustment to be calculated. Consideration could also be given to improving the reliability and coverage of the fuel use survey during the further assessment, particularly considering if the quantities of coal burnt in the fuel use survey are representative.

Further details on AQMA designation is given in the 'Northern Ireland Local Air Quality Management Policy Guidance' document (LAQM.PGNI(03) Table 3). It also sets out how to proceed from here and under what timescales.

This report should now be submitted to defra for review. Consultation on the stage 2 and stage 3 reports should be undertaken with the general public and the relevant authorities by March 2004. Designation of an AQMA should be completed by April 2004.

When an AQMA has been declared, the next action is to submit a draft action plan to other relevant authorities by July 2004. The consultation on draft action plans is to be completed by March 2005 and then submitted by April 2005. Also in April 2005 a progress report should be completed. (LAQM.PGNI(03)Table 3)

# 7 References

CRE, 1997. PM10 emission factors for domestic solid fuels. Report prepared for Belfast City Council. Report number: 7323-3. July 1997.

Defra (2003). Part IV of the Environment Act 1995. Local Air Quality Management. Technical Guidance LAQM. TG(03).

DETR (2000) The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions. Cm 4548, SE 2000/3, NIA 7.

NAEI (2002). UK Emissions of Air Pollutants 1970 - 2000. Goodwin, Salway, Dore, Murrells, Passant, King, Coleman, Hobson, Pye, Watterson, Haigh & Conolly. November 2002. Report produced by Netcen for Defra, National Assembly of Wales, the Scottish Executive and the Department of the Environment, Northern Ireland.

Moyle District Council Fuel Use Survey, 2002





# Appendices

---

## CONTENTS


Appendix 1	Automatic Monitoring Station Data
Appendix 2	Aldergrove Met Station Data
Appendix 3	Model Bias Correction

# **Appendix 1**

## **Automatic Monitoring Station Data**

## CARRICKFERGUS AMBIENT AIR MONITORING PROGRAMME

Carrickfergus Borough Council has undertaken automatic ambient air monitoring of SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> since July 2002. The instrumentation employed uses UV fluorescence for the measurement of SO<sub>2</sub> and the TEOM technique for PM<sub>10</sub>, these methods are appropriate for Detailed Assessment under LAQM (LAQM TG(03)). The monitoring station is located in Carrickfergus Town in Rosebrook Avenue. The exact location of the monitoring station is provided below. The station is located in the Carrickfergus Town Centre grid which has been modelled for domestic fuel combustion. It is therefore in a relevant location.



Location of Automatic Monitoring Station in Carrickfergus

The data presented here has been provided to **netcen** by Carrickfergus Borough Council as finalised data. Thus no further data scaling or ratification of the data has been undertaken. However, a qualitative review of the data has been completed comparing the trends of the pollutants to nearby AURN monitoring stations. As can be seen in Figures 1 & 2 below, the Carrickfergus dataset broadly follows the same temporal variation as seen at the AURN station at Belfast Centre for PM<sub>10</sub> and Belfast Centre and Belfast East for SO<sub>2</sub>. This provides a degree of confidence in the dataset.

The data supplied by Carrickfergus Borough Council, and used in the verification process of the modelling, was uploaded to the **netcen** database and analysis of the data provided the following data summaries. Table 1 provides descriptive statistics of the Carrickfergus data, whilst Table 2 provides comparison against the Air Quality Objective values set down in the Air Quality Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2003.

**Table 1 Air Quality Summary Statistics, Carrickfergus 01 July 2002 to 30 June 2003**


POLLUTANT	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	GR <sub>10</sub>
Maximum 15-minute mean	237 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	574 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	746 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
Maximum hourly mean	186 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	287 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	373 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
Maximum running 24-hour mean	57 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	59 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	77µg m <sup>-3</sup>
Maximum daily mean	52 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	56 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	72 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
Average	9 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	20 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	25 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
Data capture	88.6 %	78.2 %	78.2 %

**Table 2: Air Quality Exceedence Statistics, Carrickfergus 01 July 2002 to 30 June 2003**

Pollutant	Air Quality Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2003	Exceedences	Days
Sulphur Dioxide	15-minute mean $>266 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	0	0
Sulphur Dioxide	Hourly mean $> 350 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	0	0
Sulphur Dioxide	Daily mean $> 125 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	0	0
PM <sub>10</sub> (Grav) Particulate Matter	Daily mean $> 50 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	17	17
PM <sub>10</sub> (Grav) Particulate Matter	Annual mean $> 40 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	0	-


Note: A factor of 1.3 has been used to correct TEOM PM<sub>10</sub> to gravimetric equivalent PM<sub>10</sub> (GR<sub>10</sub> in Table 1)

**Figure 1: PM<sub>10</sub> Hourly Mean Data for 1 July 2002 to 30 June 2003**



PM10 Particulate Matter July 2002 to June 2003


Figure 2: SO<sub>2</sub> Hourly Mean Data for 1 July 2002 to 30 June 2003



Sulphur Dioxide July 2002 to June 2003

# **Appendix 2**

## **Aldergrove Met Station Data**




**Figure A2- Location of Aldergrove Station**


**Table A2 - Characteristics of Aldergrove Station**

<b>Description – Aldergrove International airport.</b>	
<b>DCNN</b>	9142
<b>Eastings</b>	314700
<b>Northings</b>	379800
<b>Latitude Deg Min</b>	54 39 N
<b>Longitude Deg Min</b>	06 13 W
<b>Station height AMSL (m)</b>	68
<b>Effective height of anemograph (m)</b>	10





**Wind rose for the Aldergrove 2002 met data**



**Wind rose for the Aldergrove July 2002 – June 2003 met data**

# **Appendix 3**

## **Model Bias correction**

# Carrickfergus BC Bias Correction and Modelling

## Bias correction

PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> ambient concentrations were modelled using met data from Aldergrove between July 2002 and June 2003 (This is the same period from which monitoring data for PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> was available from the monitoring station). This model run included both time varying emissions from domestic fuel burning and the constant emissions from Kilroot Power Station.

The modelled 90%ile of 24h mean for PM<sub>10</sub> and 99.9%ile of 15 minute mean for SO<sub>2</sub> were then compared to the monitoring results for the same period. Following the formulas below, a bias correction factor was worked for PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>:

$$PM_{10 \text{ monitoring data}} = (\text{background}_{PM_{10}} \times 1.68) + (\text{Modelled result} \times f_{PM_{10}})$$

[90.41% 24h mean]

$$SO_{2 \text{ monitoring data}} = (\text{background}_{SO_2} \times 2) + (\text{Modelled result} \times f_{SO_2})$$

[99.9% 15-min mean]

Table 3.1 Summary of model bias correction

	Monitoring data (Carrickfergus station)	Background (from NAEI)	Modelled (ADMS 3.1)	Bias correction (factor)
<b>PM<sub>10</sub> gravimetric</b>	46.8 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	19 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	7.0 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	<b>2.13</b>
<b>SO<sub>2</sub></b>	133 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	10 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	100.5 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	<b>1.12</b>

## Met data variations

Having worked out a bias correction factor for the model run, modelling was carried out again using 1999 and 2002 met data, separately. The results obtained for these two years were very similar. The most recent met data was to be used (2003 was not complete at the time of modelling). As can be seen in figure 1, 1999 and 2002 met data have similar windrose with a predominant southwesterly wind<sup>1</sup>.





Fig 1. Wind rose for the Aldergrove 1999 (left) and 2002 (right) met data

***In order to carry out the modelling assessment, met data for the complete year 2002 was used. However, the bias correction used to correct the model results were from the met data 2002-2003 as this was the only period with monitoring data available. This explains the difference between modelled results presented in the report (Year 2002) and monitoring***

<sup>1</sup> Note that there are other factors affecting pollutants dispersions. Wind directions is only an example to show that met data used is different.


**data measured in Rosebrook Avenue Station since July 2002. On this basis had there been monitoring for the whole of 2002 more PM<sub>10</sub> exceedences may have been recorded.**

**As figure 2 shows, the wind patterns between 2002 and 2002/2003 are not similar. Both Southeasterly and Southwesterly winds dominated in 2002/2003 compared to Southwesterly winds in 2002.**



**Fig 2. Wind rose for 2002 met data (left) and July 2002 – June 2003 met data (right)**

Figure 3 shows that 2002/2003 windroses are similar to 2003 met data alone.



**Wind rose for July 2002 – June 2003 met data (left) and 2003 met data (right)**

**Monitoring period used for Bias correction**

The data set used for model verification runs 01/07/2002 through 30/06/2003. This was the monitoring data provided by Carrickfergus BC. In reviewing the data (Appendix 1), it was noted that the period of interest (Winter 2002/2003) coincided with periods of elevated PM<sub>10</sub> across the whole of the UK. These periods are well documented (see <http://www.airquality.co.uk/archive/reports/list.php> - forecasting reports) and were driven by transboundary PM<sub>10</sub>.

These national PM episodes were considered with respect to the model verification process. It is recognised that the inclusion of many transboundary episodes within a dataset will result in a conservative model bias correction factor. On review, a decision was made to use all available data within the monitoring period for the following reasons:

- The national transboundary episodes were recorded during the winter 2002/2003 period, the same period of interest with respect to domestic fuel combustion. Simply removing the transboundary episodes may remove significant domestic contributions.
- On the basis of the information available, we consider there is no robust method of source apportionment to enable the domestic contribution to be isolated, LAQM.TG (04) does not provide guidance on this.
- Many of national episodes are not present in the Carrickfergus monitoring data set with gaps coinciding with known transboundary episodes.

### Location of monitoring station

Apart from the influence of met data to the modelling results, it should also be highlighted that monitoring results from Rosebrook Avenue might not represent the areas with highest pollution. House density nearby is less compared to two areas where possible exceedences have been modelled.

