# **Banbridge District Council**

## **Local Air Quality Progress Report**

<u>May 2008</u>

### **Executive Summary**

A Progress Report for Banbridge District Council was appraised by the University of the West of England (UWE) on behalf of the Environment & Heritage Service in April 2007. This report was accepted by the Environment & Heritage Service, with no conditions. No further detailed assessments were required for any of the pollutants reviewed and there was no requirement for any statutory Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) to be declared at this time.

Preparation of this Progress Report is the next activity prescribed in the timetable for the local government reviews and assessments, as set out in LAQM Policy Guidance (LAQM.PGNI(03)). The report has been produced in accordance with guidance detailed in Progress Report Guidance LAQM.PRGNI(04) and summarises the findings of the LAQM activities undertaken by the Council including the currently available air quality monitoring results for 2007.

The conclusion of this report confirms that, for all the prescribed air pollutants, concentrations in the district are well within the statutory limits for all 4 monitoring sites.

The Council will continue to participate fully in the ongoing LAQM Review & Assessment process to ensure that local air quality across all parts of the district is managed in a way that effects compliance with health-based, statutory pollutant limits.

## Contents

1.0 Introduction	Page 5
1.1 Purpose and Role of Air Quality Reports	5
1.2 Air Quality Strategy Objectives	7
1.3 Conclusions of First Round Review & Assessment	9
2.0 New Monitoring Data	9
2.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken	9
2.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites	9
2.1.2 NO2 Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites	9
2.2 New Monitoring	10
2.3 Monitoring Results and Comparison with AQS Objectives	10
2.3.1 Automatic monitoring Station	10
2.3.2 NO <sub>2</sub> (Diffusion tube Monitoring)	10
3.0 New Developments Since First Stage Review & Assessment	11
3.1 Industrial Processes	11
3.1.1 Part A Industrial Processes	11
3.1.2 Part B Industrial Processes	11
3.1.3 Other Industrial Processes	11
3.1.3.1 New Landfill, Quarrying and Mineral processes	11
3.1.3.2 New Fuel Storage Depots	11
3.1.3.3 Small Boilers	11
3.1.4 Industrial Process Closures	11
3.2 Transport	11
3.2.1 New Road Developments	12
3.2.2 Significant Changes to Existing Roads	12
3.2.3 Newly Identified Public Exposure to Vehicle Emissions	12
3.2.4 Other Transport Sources	12
3.2.4.1 Trains	12
3.2.4.2 Airports	13
3.2.4.3 Bus Stations	13
3.2.4.4 Shipping	13

3.3 Residential, Commercial and Public	13
<ul><li>3.3.1 New Housing Developments</li><li>3.3.2 New Commercial Developments</li><li>3.3.3 New Public Developments</li></ul>	13 13 13
4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations	14
<ul><li>4.1 Conclusions from New Monitoring Data</li><li>4.2 Recommendations</li></ul>	14 14

#### APPENDIX A

Banbridge District Council - Local Air Quality Monitoring Maps		
APPENDIX B		
NOx DATA – Banbridge District Council 2003 - 2006	19	

## **1.0 Introduction**

#### **1.1 Purpose and Role of Progress Reports**

In 1995 the UK Government published its strategic framework for air quality management and established national strategies and policies on air quality. The Northern Ireland Environment Order came into force in January 2003 and implements the European Air Framework Directive 96/62EC and the UK Air Quality Strategy in Northern Ireland.

Under the Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) regime, councils are required to review present local air quality, make projections on future trends and assess whether the nationally prescribed objectives are likely to be achieved. Progress reports are required to be produced in the years when the authority is not carrying out updating and screening assessments or detailed assessments of air quality.

This progress report has been prepared as part of Banbridge District Council's responsibilities under the Environment (Northern Ireland) Order 2002 to "fill the gap" between three yearly rounds of review and assessment of local air quality. The progress report has been introduced into the local air quality management system, as a means of combating the 'stop-start' approach to environmental reporting and to integrate the concepts of local air quality management into the routine of local authority operations.

It is intended that progress reports can assist the district councils by:

- helping to retain a profile for LAQM within the council, including the retention of staff with knowledge of air quality issues.
- providing a means for communicating air quality information to elected members and the public.
- maximising the value of the investment in monitoring equipment.
- facilitating the next round of review and assessment as there will be a readily available up-to-date source of information.
- helping district councils respond to requests for up-to-date information on air quality.
- providing information to assist in other policy areas, such as transport and land use planning.
- providing a ready source of information on air quality for developers carrying out environmental assessments for new schemes.
- demonstrating progress with implementation of air quality Action Plans and/or Air Quality Strategies.
- providing a timely indication of the need for further measures to improve air quality, rather than delaying until the next full round of review and assessment.

The overall aims of this progress report are to:

- Report progress on implementing Local Air Quality Management.
- Report progress in achieving and maintaining concentrations of prescribed pollutants below the air quality objectives.

This report has been prepared in accordance with the Environment & Heritage Service guidelines as published in Progress Report Guidance LAQM.PRGNI(04), November 2004.

### **1.2 Air Quality Strategy Objectives**

The following air quality objectives set out in the Air Quality Regulations (NI) 2003 provide the statutory basis for the system of Local Air Quality Management.

Pollutant	Objective	Measured as	To be achieved by
Benzene	3.25 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Running Annual Mean	31/12/2010
1,3-Butadiene	2.25 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Running Annual Mean	31/12/2003
Carbon monoxide	10.0 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Maximum daily running 8 Hour Mean	31/12/2003
Lead	0.5 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual Mean	31/12/2004
	$0.25 \mu\text{g/m}^3$	Annual Mean	31/12/2008
Nitrogen dioxide	$200 \ \mu g/m^3$ Not to be exceeded more than 18 times per year	1 Hour Mean	31/12/2005
	$40 \ \mu g/m^3$	Annual Mean	31/12/2005
Particles (PM <sub>10</sub> ) (gravimetric) <sup>d</sup>	$50 \ \mu g/m^3$ Not to be exceeded more than 35 times per year	24 Hour Mean	31/12/2004
	$40 \ \mu g/m^3$	Annual Mean	31/12/2004
	266 μg/m <sup>3</sup> Not to be exceeded more than 35 times per year	15 Minute Mean	31/12/2005
Sulphur Dioxide	$350 \ \mu g/m^3$ Not to be exceeded more than 24 times per year	1 Hour Mean	31/12/2004
	$125 \ \mu g/m^3$ Not to be exceeded more than 3 times per year	24 Hour Mean	31/12/2004

**Table 1**: Air Quality Strategy Objectives

## **1.3** Conclusions of 2<sup>nd</sup> Round Review and Assessment, 2006.

#### $NO_2$

The Second Round, Stage 1 Review and Assessment (USA), completed in 2006, concluded that a further Stage 2 assessment of  $NO_2$  emissions was not required at that time on the basis of results gathered from diffusion tube monitoring at a number of sampling locations within the district.

The Updating and Screening Assessment for 2006 also concluded that further assessments were not required for any of the other prescribed pollutants outlined in LAQM TG(03).

The Progress Report for 2007 also concluded that no further assessments were required for any of the prescribed pollutants outlined in LAQM TG(03).

#### 2.0 New Monitoring Data

#### 2.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

Banbridge District Council undertakes ambient monitoring of the following pollutants in its area:

• NO<sub>2</sub> (by Diffusion Tube).

Table 2.1: Air Quality Monitoring In Banbridge					
Pollutant	Equipment	Location	Eastings	Northings	Site Type
	Nitrogen Dioxide	17 Springfields, Banbridge BT32 3LT	312010	344249	Background
NO2		7 Hillview Terrace, Dromore Street, Banbridge BT32 4BS	312845	346275	Kerbside
1102	diffusion tubes	9 Fortfield, Maypole Hill, Dromore BT25 1DD	319800	353508	Background
		A1 Dromore By-Pass	319803	353635	Kerbside

**Table 2.1**: Air Quality Monitoring In Banbridge

Kerbside = 1-5m from kerb, urban background = at least 50m from the kerb of any major road.

No other pollutants identified in the air quality strategy objectives are monitored in the area covered by Banbridge District Council.

#### 2.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Stations

There are no automatic monitoring stations within Banbridge District Council area.

#### 2.1.2 NO<sub>2</sub> Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites

Banbridge District Council carries out monitoring of  $NO_2$  by diffusion tubes at four sites within the District. The  $NO_2$  diffusion tubes were prepared and analysed by Lambeth Scientific Services Ltd until May 2007. Gradko International were contracted to supply and analyse the diffuson tubes from the beginning of June 2007. These laboratories takes part in the  $NO_2$  Network QA/QC Field Intercomparison survey. Lambeth Scientific Services diffusion tubes are prepared by coating the grids in a 50% v/v solution of the absorbent, triethanolamine (TEA) in water. Analysis is carried out using a colorimetric technique. Gradko Internationals diffusion tubes are prepared by coating the grids in 20% TEA in water.

The four sites are included in the UK  $NO_2$  Network, but none of the sites were co-located with an automatic  $NO_2$  analyser. Details are given in Table 2.1 and maps in Appendix A.

Table 2.1.2: Diffusion Tube Monitoring Site Details in Bandridge						
Pollutant	Equipment	Location	Eastings	Northings	Site No.	Site Ref.
		17 Springfields, Banbridge BT32 3LT	312010	344249	8	82760 K
NO2	Nitrogen Dioxide	7 Hillview Terrace, Dromore Street, Banbridge BT32 4BS	312845	346275	10	82979 K
	diffusion tubes	9 Fortfield, Maypole Hill, Dromore BT25 1DD	319800	353508	7	82762 B
	Al	A1 Dromore By-Pass	319803	353635	11	82763 B

Table 2.1.2: Diffusion Tube Monitoring Site Details in Banbridge

#### 2.2 NEW MONITORING

There are no new or discontinued monitoring sites since the previous Updating and Screening Assessment.

### 2.3 MONITORING RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH AQS OBJECTIVES

#### 2.3.1 Automatic Monitoring Station

There is no automatic monitoring equipment currently located within the Banbridge District Council area.

#### 2.3.2 NO<sub>2</sub> (Diffusion Tube Monitoring)

NO<sub>2</sub> diffusion tube monitoring results have been bias corrected for 2001 to 2007. Nitrogen Dioxide concentrations recorded by the diffusion tubes indicate that Nitrogen Dioxide concentrations currently comply with the annual mean Air Quality Strategy objective at all measurement locations.

Tables 1 to 6 in Appendix B list the results for NO<sub>2</sub> diffusion tubes from 2001 to 2007.

#### 3.0 New Developments Since the First Stage Review & Assessment

#### **3.1 Industrial Processes**

#### 3.1.1 Part A Industrial Processes

No new Part A processes were permitted for operation. None of the existing Part A processes underwent significant changes likely to increase their emissions by 30% or more.

#### 3.1.2 Part B Industrial Processes

No new Part B industrial processes were permitted in Banbridge. No previously existing Part B processes underwent significant changes likely to increase their emissions by 30% or more.

#### **3.1.3 Other Industrial Processes**

#### 3.1.3.1 New landfill, Quarrying and Mineral Processes

No landfill, quarrying or mineral processes have started operation or significantly changed.

#### **3.1.3.2** New Fuel Storage Depots

No new major fuel storage depots, either in or close to the Banbridge District, have been identified.

#### 3.1.3.3 Small Boilers

Banbridge District Council are not aware of any significant changes to  $>5MW_{(thermal)}$  fuel plants and processes.

#### 3.1.4 Industrial Process Closures

Banbridge District Council has not identified any process closures within the district.

#### 3.2 Transport

#### **3.2.1** New Road Developments

See section 3.2.2 Significant Changes to Existing Roads below.

#### **3.2.2** Significant Changes to Existing Roads

The A1 Belfast to Dublin road had undergone extensive renovation and upgrading between Loughbrickland and Sheepsbridge during 2006. The works included the upgrading of the existing single lane carriageway to a dual carriageway standard. Associated works included the construction of brand new sections of the road which incorporates the engineering of cuts and fills in the local landscape. An Environmental Impact Statement was prepared by Babtie Group, Belfast and a copy has been made available to the Council. The construction works were not considered as contentious and only had a short term impact on local air quality. No significant complaints regarding air pollution associated with construction were received by the Council.

#### 3.2.3 Newly Identified Public Exposure to Vehicle Emissions

No roads have been identified with annual average daily traffic flow (AADT) greater than 10,000 vehicles per day, which have experienced large increases (25% or more) in traffic flow, since the previous Updating and Screening Report. Local Authorities are required to consider whether there are any of the following in their area, either new since the last report, or newly identified:

- 1. Narrow congested streets meeting the following criteria:
  - Residential properties are within 5m of the kerb.
  - Average traffic speeds are 50kph or less.
  - The carriageway is less than 10m wide, and
  - AADT is greater than 10,000.
- 2. Busy streets where people may spend 1 hour or more close to traffic (most likely in streets of shops, bars, cafes etc.), meeting the following criteria:
  - Public exposure for 1 hour or more within 5m of the kerb
  - AADT > 10,000 vehicles per day.

The Updating and Screening Assessment of March 2006 identified no roads in Banbridge meeting these criteria. There are no new or newly identified streets meeting these criteria since the previous report.

#### **3.2.4 Other Transport Sources**

As well as road vehicles, public exposure to emissions from planes, buses, trains, ships etc. must also be considered.

#### 3.2.4.1 Trains

There are no new, or newly identified, locations where diesel locomotives are regularly stationary for five minutes or more and -

• there is potential for public exposure within 15m of the locomotives

• there are more than two occasions a day when diesel locomotives are stationary with engines running for more than 15 minutes.

#### 3.2.4.2 Airports

There are no airports in Banbridge or neighbouring authorities that have a throughput of 5 million passengers per year and/or 500,000 tonnes of freight.

#### 3.2.4.3 Bus Stations

The main bus stations within the Banbridge District Council area have less than 1000 bus movements per day. There are no newly identified bus stations with more than 1000 bus movements per day and no bus stations where movements have increased to more than 1000 per day since the previous Updating and Screening Report.

#### 3.2.4.4 Shipping

Banbridge is inland and has no ports with more than 5,000 shipping movements per year

#### 3.3 Residential, Commercial and Public

#### **3.3.1** New Housing Developments

There are no new housing developments proposed for the Banbridge District Council area that have full planning permission granted.

#### **3.3.2** New Commercial Developments

The Outlet Retail Park, comprising 78 commercial units with associated road network access to the A1 Belfast to Dublin dual carriageway, opened 1mile south of Banbridge in April 2007. An Environmental Impact Statement was made available to the Council as part of the Planning Consultation process. The initial construction works and current operation of the site are not considered to have any significant impact on local air quality. There are no other new commercial developments (e.g. retail parks, office blocks, leisure centres).

#### **3.3.3** New Public Developments

New public developments such as schools, hospitals, stations, major car parks require consideration as they may impact on local traffic flow.

No new public developments have been confirmed since the previous Updating and Screening Assessment.

#### 4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

#### 4.1 Conclusions from New Monitoring Data

Since the submission of the Second Round Progress Report of 2007, ongoing assessment indicates that concentrations of  $NO_{2}$ , are unlikely to exceed the statutory limits at any of the sampling locations.

This Progress Report has not identified any sources that require further assessment. Therefore at this stage it is <u>not</u> necessary for Banbridge District Council to proceed to a detailed assessment for any of the pollutants.

#### 4.2 **Recommendations**

Passive sampling by diffusion tubes are a simple cost effective method of monitoring and checking air quality in an area. It is recommended that the  $NO_2$  monitoring should be continued, targeting potential problem areas. The diffusion tube survey will comply with the objectives and sampling methods as set out in LAQM TG(03). It is recommended that the  $NO_2$  diffusion tube network be extended where necessary in light of future screening.

Banbridge District Council currently has its Air Quality Management Strategy in place and is working to fulfil the objectives that were initiated as part of the Strategy. The Strategy is currently at the end of its second year since being launched in March 2006 and the council has been involved in a successful 'Walk to School' campaign to highlight the issues of air pollution and road traffic caused by the school run. The council is also registered on the DOE Travelwise 'Car-share' scheme. Since local air quality management work by the council has to date indicated that the District enjoys a relatively good standard of air quality, it is anticipated that the strategy will focus on protecting this position for the future.

## APPENDIX A

## **BANBRIDGE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

## **Local Air Quality Monitoring Maps**

Insert Map 1

Insert Map 2

Insert Map 3

## **APPENDIX B**

## **NO<sub>2</sub> DIFFUSION TUBE DATA**

## BANBRIDGE DISTRICT COUNCIL 2001 to 2007

### Table 1: NO2 Diffusion Tube Results 2007

#### BANBRIDGE DISTRICT COUNCIL. BIAS ADJUSTED NO<sub>2</sub> RESULTS JANUARY 2007 - DECEMBER 2007

Site no.	7	8	10	11		
	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	Site no.	Site Description
Month					7	Rural Background
January	18	13	30	36	8	Urban Background
February	17	17	43	46	10	Kerbside
March	1	7	5	11	11	Kerbside
April	6	12	23	22		
Мау	6	7	12	21	NS	S - No Sample
June	10	10	27	38		
July	10	9	27	35		
August	10	8	23	38		
September	NS	9	21	38		
October	15	16	NS	45		
November	10	9	27	33		
December	16	14	38	38		
Ratified Mean	11	11	25	33		
BIAS FACTORS 2007 Lambeth- 0.99 (Cm/Dm) Gradko – 0.89						

Note: January – May = Lambeth Scientific Services Analysis. June – December = Gradko International analysis

### Table 2: NO2 Diffusion Tube Results 2006

#### BANBRIDGE DISTRICT COUNCIL. BIAS ADJUSTED NO<sub>2</sub> RESULTS JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006

Site no.	7	8	10	11		
	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	Site no.	Site Description
Month					7	Rural Background
January	13	37	23	21	8	Urban Background
February	11	12	17	35	10	Kerbside
March	5	11	18	62	11	Kerbside
April	10	9	38	21		
Мау	9	10	21	24	N	S - No Sample
June	8	9	34	30		
July	7	8	23	27		
August	4	8	19	25		
September	10	9	28	25		
October	14	19	36	43		
November	12	11	13	45		
December	14	13	30	15		
Mean	10	13	25	31		
Ratified Mean	13	17	34	42		
BIAS FAC	TOR 2006 - 1.34 (Cm/Dm)					

### Table 3: NO2 Diffusion Tube Results 2005

#### BANBRIDGE DISTRICT COUNCIL. BIAS ADJUSTED NO $_2$ RESULTS JANUARY 2005 - DECEMBER 2005

Site no.	7	8	10	11		
	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	Site no.	Site Description
Month					7	Rural Background
January	14	8	25	19	8	Urban Background
February	13	13	20	29	10	Kerbside
March	15	14	32	35	11	Kerbside
April	12	10	28	31		
May	26	28	34	39	NS	S - No Sample
June	18	25	40	32		
July	20	21	14	19		
August	7	6	18	30		
September	7	10	20	26		
October	15	17	31	41		
November	15	9	27	39		
December	19	10	36	35		
Mean	15	14	27	31.25		
Ratified Mean	17	16	31	35		
BIAS FAC	CTOR 2005 - 1,13 (Cm/Dm)					

### Table 4: NO2 Diffusion Tube Results 2004

#### BANBRIDGE DISTRICT COUNCIL. BIAS ADJUSTED NO<sub>2</sub> RESULTS JANUARY 2004 - DECEMBER 2004

Site no.	7	8	10	11
	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3
Month				
January	14	16	45	38
February	19	NS	39	30
March	11	NS	27	22
April	15	10	NS	33
May	17	22	31	57
June	22	NS	11	14
July	6	8	29	41
August	12	17	19	42
September	10	9	28	11
October	15	13	26	28
November	13	16	32	6
December	14	11	12	23
Ratified Mean	14	13	27	29

Site no.	Site Description
7	Rural Background
8	Urban Background
10	Kerbside
11	Kerbside

NS - No Sample

### Table 5: NO2 Diffusion Tube Results 2003

#### BANBRIDGE DISTRICT COUNCIL. BIAS ADJUSTED NO<sub>2</sub> RESULTS JANUARY 2003 - DECEMBER 2003

Site no.	7	8	10	11
	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3
Month				
January	37	NS	62	51
February	11	NS	37	25
March	8	15	37	12
April	14	15	32	33
Мау	5	NS	26	21
June	11	NS	NS	44
July	6	NS	32	43
August	8	5.3	16	35
September	11	13.7	37	35
October	15	NS	26	22
November	19	22	50	54
December	24	25	43	39
Ratified Mean	14	16	36	34

Site no.	Site Description
7	Rural Background
8	Urban Background
10	Kerbside
11	Kerbside

No Sample

BIAS CORRECTION 2003 - 1.05 (Cm/Dm)

### Table 6: NO2 Diffusion Tube Results 2002

#### BANBRIDGE DISTRICT COUNCIL. BIAS ADJUSTED NO2 RESULTS JANUARY 2002 - DECEMBER 2002

Site no.	7	8	10	11
	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3
Month				
January	22	28	NS	43
February	17	15	45	44
March	3	13	40	21
April	15	23	20	31
May	5	NS	31	39
June	17	17	25	10
July	31	23	66	30
August	NS	NS	NS	NS
September	31	NS	52	17
October	33	21	25	49
November	13	20	NS	36
December	15	NS	47	60
Ratified Mean	18	20	39	35

Site no.	Site Description	
7	Rural Background	
8	Urban Background	
10	Kerbside	
11	Kerbside	

No Sample

BIAS CORRECTION 2002 - 1.15 (Cm/Dm)

### Table 7: NO2 Diffusion Tube Results 2001

#### BANBRIDGE DISTRICT COUNCIL. BIAS ADJUSTED NO2 RESULTS JANUARY 2001 - DECEMBER 2001

Site no.	7	8	10	11
	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3
Month				
January	22	3	28	29
February	15	17	21	33
March	15	17	21	33
April	45	47	37	26
May	19	17	43	46
June	9	15	23	24
July	13	5	35	39
August	12	15	19	33
September	14	13	32	37
October	26	17	37	56
November	12	8	20	23
December	20	16	22	45
Ratified Mean	18	16	28	35

Site no.	Site Description	
7	Rural Background	
8	Urban Background	
10	Kerbside	
11	Kerbside	

No Sample

BIAS CORRECTION 2001 - 1.09 (Cm/Dm)